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“Our ultimate aim is more than just a trail—it is a whole system of them, a cobweb planned to cover the mountains... Here is where the planning comes, for a playground and a living ground—well equipped, well cared for, and well used.”

–Benton MacKaye,
“Progress Toward the Appalachian Trail”, Appalachia (1922)
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Chapter 1 Introduction

The Southern Appalachian Mountains of Western North Carolina have been a recreational haven since the 1800s. The area contains the Great Smoky Mountains National Park along with premiere hiking trails such as the Appalachian Trail, Benton MacKaye Trail, Bartram Trail and Mountains-to-Sea Trail.

Communities across Western North Carolina are investing in greenways that connect destinations within small towns, mountain bike trails, and blueways (or paddle trails) along the region’s scenic rivers.

This combination of world class trails attracts visitors from across the state, the United States and the world, promoting economic development and healthy living. Until now, the region’s trails have never been fully cataloged into a cohesive set of maps. Further, common considerations for future trail expansion and documentation of what each community envisions for a future trails system was desired by the State.

In 2012 and 2013, the Southwestern Commission—the regional council of governments for the seven-county region of Western North Carolina—developed this Regional Trails Plan to be the first-ever effort to catalog and plan for a future trail system.

The Plan efforts, funded through the North Carolina Division of Parks and Recreation’s State Trails Program, includes data collection on regional trails, public workshops to gather ideas for new trails, and documentation of other trail- and greenway-related considerations for the region.

The seven counties evaluated for the Plan are:

♦ Cherokee County;
♦ Clay County;
♦ Graham County;
♦ Haywood County;
♦ Jackson County;
♦ Macon County; and
♦ Swain County.

The Plan was intended to gather ideas from citizens and community leaders as to where towns, counties, and other community stakeholders should pursue new trails or enhancement of existing trails. This includes hiking trails, mountain bike trails, greenways, paddle trails, equestrian trails and connections between trails.

Trails identified through this Plan will become part of the State Trails Plan and recognition in this Plan will help the region receive state funding through grant programs administered by State Parks as one criteria for ranking of applications is inclusion of a trail in a regional plan.

What does the Plan include?
The primary product of this plan is a regionwide map indicating where existing hiking trails, greenways, mountain bike trails, equestrian trails and some on-
road bicycle routes are located. It also includes recommendations, based on public input, on where new trails, greenways or routes may be located to connect to other trails or connect towns/communities to one another.

Other themes addressed in this Plan include:
- Regional Success Stories;
- Mountains-to-Sea Trail Options;
- Health & Economic Impacts of Trails;
- Maintenance & Operations of Trail Facilities; and
- Funding & Implementation Recommendations.

Why a Trails Inventory & Concept Plan?
Interest in trails and greenways has always been strong in Western North Carolina. As the region has grown there have been numerous efforts to better identify where existing trails are located and where they are desired. These efforts have never been consolidated into one regional plan to identify partnerships or opportunities among various stakeholders.

The Plan is intended to be a starting point for trail and greenways considerations that the towns and counties within the region can use to conduct more detailed planning, project design and implementation of desired trails and associated facilities.

Benefits of Trails & Greenways
The movement to improve the health and wellness of adults and children across the country has identified trails and greenways as a critical component of healthy living and active lifestyles. In Western North Carolina, they also contribute greatly to the quality of life in the region and will be a vital part of the region’s attractiveness to visitors, residents and those looking to relocate to the area.

Communities often believe they cannot afford to conserve open space and secure land to place greenways and trails. But accumulating evidence indicates that open space conservation and the creation of trails and greenways are investments that produce significant economic benefits to help offset the costs of securing and acquiring land. There are many benefits of walking and bicycling, including mental health, fostering of independent thought, and physical fitness. Trails and greenways also offer an opportunity to provide natural “playscapes” to encourage children, teenagers, families and older adults to engage in outdoor activities.

How can you stay involved?
This Plan represents input gathered from a variety of sources who contributed to the effort by providing data, mapping information, public input and case study profiles. Organizations that had direct input into the Plan include:
- Town and County governments;
- Advocacy organizations;
- Land conservancies;
- Friends groups; and
- Individuals.

Many of the participants in the Regional Trails Plan workshops are actively involved in various organizations that promote and manage trails in Western North Carolina.

Photo: Don Kostelec
Adoption of this Plan by the Southwestern Commission is only a start. The agency will need passionate citizens and organizations to help carry the Plan forward to implementation. The groups noted on the acknowledgments page of this report are always looking for volunteers to help maintain trails and seek funding for trail enhancements or new trails.

We encourage you to get and stay involved!

**How much will trails & greenways cost?**
The cost to build and maintain a trail or greenway can vary greatly depending on the setting, the type of materials used to pave the greenway or leave a trail in a natural state, and the amenities desired by citizens and communities. The funding source and requirements associated with environmental components of the project, such as wetland buffers, can also impact cost.

A simple footpath can be built almost solely through volunteer efforts and oftentimes requires no land acquisition costs if built on public lands or on already-obtained conservation easements.

A 10-foot wide asphalt pathway can cost more than $600,000 per mile to pave. The same pathway that is constructed with gravel or mulch surfaces can cost up to $150,000 per mile, but will incur higher maintenance costs.

Items such as bridges, boardwalks over wetlands, and crosswalks and signals at street intersections also impact cost. Other features such as lighting, signage, benches, information kiosks and historical markers can add to the overall cost to build a trail or greenway.

**What’s next?**
Work to compile this Plan includes collecting trails, greenways, parks/recreation, pedestrian, bicycle and land use plans from the towns and counties within the study area. Southwestern Commission also gathered several mapping files for current trails, public lands, conservation easements, state bike routes, and others to create the basemaps that are a starting point for the plan and workshops.

Now it’s time to implement the recommendations contained in this Plan. Some the key recommendations outlined in more detail in this report are:

◊ Establish a Regional Trails Advisory Committee, consisting of prominent agencies and organizations with an interest in trails and greenways;

◊ A coordinated and strategic funding effort to identify methods to secure financial resources to maintain and expand trails and greenways;

◊ Seeking funding for establishment of a Regional Trails Coordinator position to be housed at the Southwestern Commission to oversee the Advisory Committee, coordinate grant pursuits among the Southwestern Commission’s member agencies, and serve as a technical resource for communities and organizations.
Chapter 2 Trails & Trail Users

A trail can be defined in many ways to reflect both the context of the trail and the users of the trail. Having a shared common knowledge and ideas about trails are important to encourage proper etiquette, ensure appropriate design, and create partnerships. One of the values in creating this regional plan is to ensure that trails within the region are recognized on the State Trails Plan.

A trail might generally be defined as a route or path which has been specifically prepared or designed for one or more recreational functions. Sometimes this is done with thought, planning and effort and sometimes trails just appear on the landscape having been created by individuals who find the path functional or recreational where design and management have not taken place.

Specialty trails and activity trails are two broad categories of trails. Specialty trails relate to a general environment or function while activity trails are designed and built around a function and use.

In North Carolina, there are four official State Trails, designated by law to be units of the North Carolina State Parks System. State scenic trails are defined as extended trails so located as to provide maximum potential for the appreciation of natural areas and for the conservation and enjoyment of the significant scenic, historic, natural, ecological, geological or cultural qualities of the areas through which such trails may pass. State recreation trails are defined as trails planned principally for recreational value and may include trails for foot travel, horseback, non-motorized bicycles, non-motorized water vehicles, and two-wheel- and four-wheel-drive motorized vehicles.

Specialty Trails

Historic Trails identify and interpret significant historic routes traveled by early explorers or settlers. These trails have been an integral part in shaping American history and allow users to take part and experience some of those historic moments. They are a valuable link in relating a part of history to many people.

Interpretive Trails are trails on which natural and/or cultural environments are interpreted by means of a guide or through various self-guiding methods such as illustrative signs. Accessibility to schools, parks and other community gathering spaces are important if outdoor, environmental or conservation education is to take place. These trails help us understand how natural and cultural environments relate to our own and can teach us to appreciate other aspects of life around us.

Recreational Trails interconnect park and recreational areas with communities along routes of scenic, natural, historic, geologic, aquatic or other such elements. A recreational trail should not be designed as an expeditious (utilitarian) route for alternative com-

Users of trails may be restricted depending on the setting and ownership of the trails to protect the condition and sanctity of the trail.

Photo: Outdoor 76; Franklin, NC

NOTE: This section is adapted, with permission, from an article produced by the Illinois Trail Riders and written by Denise Maxwell, “Definition of Trails.” It was the most comprehensive publication on defining trails found during research for this Plan.
muting to shopping or places of employment or schools, nor be adjacent to major highway and transportation routes except when absolutely necessary.

In the 1991 National Recreational Trails Fund Act a "Recreational Trail" is defined as a "thoroughfare or track across land or snow, used for recreational purposes such as bicycling, cross-country skiing, day hiking, equestrian activities, jogging or similar fitness activities, trail biking, overnight or long distance backpacking, snowmobiling, aquatic or water activity and vehicular travel by motorcycle, four-wheel drive or all-terrain off-road vehicles, without regard to whether it is a "National Recreation Trail" designated under section 4 of the National Trails System Act."

National Trails exist as four types of trails that make up the National Trails System under the authority of the National Trails System Act in 1968. These include National Scenic Trails such as the Appalachian Trail. National Historic Trails include the Trail of Tears.

Urban Trails occur in areas of urban or suburban densities, or where improvement of the trail surface is necessary by nature of the development within which it occurs and are generally developed in response to one of two emerging trends. One trend is an increase in leisure time and an interest in fitness oriented activities among urban groups. The other trend comes from concerns about the quality of the environment due to explosive urban growth. Congestion of traffic and alternative (non-motorized) transportation modes for urban commuters plays a part. These trails provide local and ready recreation, fitness and aesthetic amenities, reclaim otherwise abused or under used land such as utility right-of-ways or abandoned rail corridors. Greenways, open spaces and the enhancement of natural or man-made waterways for use as parks and trails is a popular urban project.

Supplemental Trails are additional trails required by law in locations within single-family housing developments and around developments which would block access from one area to the main trail system. They may take the form of easements or right-of-way.

Sidewalks are a form of trail that grace some of our neighborhoods and do not exist in other neighborhoods. In better planned neighborhood developments trails exist allowing easements for quick access to elementary schools, shopping, or access to shared common space. In some planned communities back yard gates allow access to alleys or common corridors which become trails.
"Bushwhacked" Trails are new trails made by individuals with no planning, or thought of environmental impact, legal use privileges or effects it might have on others. Their creation is considered unacceptable by responsible trail users.

**Activity Trails**

Non-Motorized Trails of course restrict motorized uses of a trail to all but wheel chairs.

**Motorized Recreational Trails** are specifically made available to all terrain vehicle riding, 4-wheel driving, dirt bike riding, snowmobiling and so on.

**Greenway Trails** are typically paved trails in urban or suburban areas that are intended for both recreational and transportation uses. Walking and biking

### Trail Class Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trail Attributes</th>
<th>Trail Class 1 Minimally Developed</th>
<th>Trail Class 2 Moderately Developed</th>
<th>Trail Class 3 Developed</th>
<th>Trail Class 4 Highly Developed</th>
<th>Trail Class 5 Fully Developed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tread &amp; Traffic Flow</strong></td>
<td>◇ Tread intermittent and often indistinct</td>
<td>◇ Tread continuous and discernible, but narrow and rough</td>
<td>◇ Tread continuous and obvious</td>
<td>◇ Tread wide and relatively smooth with few irregularities</td>
<td>◇ Tread wide, firm, stable, and generally uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>◇ May require route finding</td>
<td>◇ Single lane with minor allowances constructed for passing</td>
<td>◇ Single lane with allowances constructed for passing</td>
<td>◇ Single lane, with allowances constructed for passing where required by traffic volumes in areas with no reasonable passing opportunities available</td>
<td>◇ Single lane, with frequent turnouts where traffic volumes are low to moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>◇ Single lane with no allowances constructed for passing</td>
<td>◇ Typically native materials</td>
<td>◇ Native or imported materials</td>
<td>◇ Double lane where traffic volumes are moderate to high</td>
<td>◇ Double lane where traffic volumes are moderate to high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>◇ Predominantly native materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◇ Native or imported materials</td>
<td>◇ Commonly hardened with asphalt or other imported material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obstacles</strong></td>
<td>◇ Obstacles common, naturally occurring, often substantial and intended to provide increased challenge</td>
<td>◇ Obstacles may be common, substantial, and intended to provide increased challenge</td>
<td>◇ Obstacles may be common, but not substantial or intended to provide challenge</td>
<td>◇ Obstacles infrequent and insubstantial</td>
<td>◇ Obstacles not present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>◇ Narrow passages; brush, steep grades, rocks and logs present</td>
<td>◇ Blockages cleared to define route and protect resources</td>
<td>◇ Vegetation cleared outside of trailway</td>
<td>◇ Vegetation cleared outside of trailway</td>
<td>◇ Grades typically &lt; 8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: US Forest Service Trails Class Matrix (2008)*
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Trail Users: Design Factors

Typical operating widths, spacing requirements and turning radii depend on forward velocity as well as the experience of the operator. Skilled skateboarders frequently turn in less than the length of their own skateboards, for example. The preferred surface treatment for an adult cyclist will also depend on the type of bicycle (e.g., road or mountain or hybrid) that is being ridden as well as the purpose of the ride, for example, commuting as opposed to recreation.
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are typical activities on greenway trails and are sometimes referred to as multi-use trails, although some users, such as equestrians may be prohibited from these trails. Many rails-to-trails routes are considered greenways trails.

**Bike paths** are routes separated from vehicular traffic and like most bicycle activity areas has specific standards for size, clearance, grade and surface material (asphalt or concrete preferred). **Bike Lanes** exist along roadways but are delineated by markings on the pavement or signs and are exclusively for bikes. **Shared Roadways** serve both motorized and non-motorized vehicles and are often marked by signage. Then one must understand that regular bicycles are different from mountain bicycles (fat tires, all terrain).

**Mountain bicycles** are non-motorized and not to be confused with ATV’s or ORV’s which are motorized. Off road bicycling is popular in some areas and can be referred to as bike hiking.

**Equestrian Trails** are usually non-paved, might be close to home or more likely on public land in rural or semi-isolated areas. Horse trails can be routed with and parallel to other use trails. Like bicycles, equestrians may share road surfaces with automobile traffic by riding to the right of the road, further over then the bike lanes if possible and on verges of the road when available. If horse trails are located parallel to road then barriers are sometimes placed between the road and the horse trail (Scottsdale, Arizona has exact standards for how this is done on over highway bridges, in underpasses, and along 4 or 6 lane roads and so on).

When horse trails are located away from the horse population then amenities such as hitching posts, water supply parking lots, and such are necessary. Surfacing should be natural soil, mulch, gravel for short distances and non-slick concrete or asphalt for shorter distances.

**Jogging Trail/Fitness Trail/Par Courses** are alternatives to public sidewalks and school running tracks. They should be smooth packed earth or compacted gravel or wood chips. They might also take the form of cross country track courses, marathon distance routes or as shared surfaces with bicyclists, equestrians or vehicles.

**Off Highway Vehicle (OHV) Trails** might be designated for two- and three- and four- wheeled motorized bikes or 4-wheel drive trucks and jeeps. Trails should be well marked to reduce damage on various unmaintainable backcountry roads or in places designated specifically for OHV and ATV use.

**Paddle or Water Trails** are for use by non-motorized boat travel such as canoe, raft, and tuber. Often these parallel other trails used by hikers, equestrians and cyclists. Put in and Take out areas are important as are picnicking or safe camping spots.
Chapter 3 Public & Stakeholder Involvement

While there are many common features among the towns and rural communities in the seven counties of Western North Carolina, they are not the same. People have different interests, different backgrounds, and a different perspective on trails in their community. To accommodate these diverse opinions and reach stakeholders and citizens in each county, the Southwestern Commission conducted at least one workshop in each of the seven counties.

More than 100 people participated in a series of workshops in Fall 2012 that were set up as ideas gathering sessions. Participants included:

- Interested citizens;
- County and municipal government staff;
- Local retailers, such as bicycle shops and outdoor equipment stores;
- US Forest Service staff;
- Representatives of local land trusts;
- Hiking club members;
- Friends groups;
- Environmental advocacy group members;
- University, college and school system representatives;
- Economic development organizations;
- Health professionals;
- Local greenway and trails committees; and
- Representatives of various user groups such as hikers, mountain bikers, and backcountry horsemen.

Gathering Ideas

Participants in these workshops were organized into small groups at tables including maps of the county in which the workshop was taking place. A map of trails within the region was also available. The participants were asked a series of “focus questions” aimed at gathering their input in an organized fashion. The focus questions asked them to:

1. **Identify popular destinations with the community.** These could include towns, scenic vistas, rivers and streams, lakes, businesses or other places.

2. **Identify popular trailheads or starting points for trail users.** These could include hiking trails, biking trails, equestrian trails, and greenways.

3. **Determine if trails already connected the access points to destinations.** If connections did exist, participants were asked to determine if we had mapped them correctly. If they did not exist, participants were asked to identify conceptual routes that could link them.

4. **Prioritize their ideas.** Participants were asked to label their top 5 priorities, which could include new trails, new trail heads, enhancements to existing facilities, or other adjunct uses.

The results of this exercise were used to generate the regional maps and county-specific maps contained in Chapter 5. The priorities developed through this exercise were used to develop the funding and...
implementation strategies contained in Chapter 9.

**Identifying Challenges & Opportunities**
Once workshop participants documented these ideas on the county-by-county maps, they were asked to identify, as a group, challenges and opportunities. The responses were a combination of broader, regional ideas for promoting greenways and trails. Some participants identified trail- or town-specific challenges and opportunities.

The responses are summarized in the illustration on the following page. The opportunities and challenges labeled as “primary” represent topics that were discussed at multiple workshops or noted by participants to be a priority. The “other” category represents those responses viewed as important but related to more localized needs or requiring the primary issues to be addressed first.

**Draft Plan Review**

*NOTE: This section will be completed after the draft plan is reviewed and open houses are conducted.*

**Open Houses.** The draft Plan was published in February 2013 for review by stakeholders, workshop participants and other citizens. Two open houses were conducted in mid-February to display the regional and county maps and gather feedback from attendees. One open house was held in Sylva and another was in Andrews.

**Public Comments.** In addition to comments received at the open houses, comments were solicited via an online comment form. The comment form was linked from the Southwestern Commission’s website, which included PDF files of the maps and Plan document.

**Plan Completion**
The plan was completed in September 2013 after a seven-month public review and comment period that centered around fine-tuning language related to the Mountains-to-Sea Trail routing options (Chapter 6).

After this review period was complete, the Plan was submitted to the State Division of Parks and Recreation’s State Trails Program for endorsement and implementation along with other regional plans that have been adopted across the state.

The maps produced as part of this plan will be made available to counties, towns, cities and stakeholders within the region for further efforts on trail development and trail publicity.

Participants in the survey for the draft Plan prioritized the following implementation actions:

- Work with partners and organizations to define a preferred route for the Mountains-to-Sea Trail;
- Produce a set of trail maps and guides for WNC;
- Organize a regional effort to designate Trail Towns and promote to visitors; and
- Organize a trail-friendly business program.
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Primary Challenges

- Funding for construction & planning.
- Maintenance of existing facilities.
- Conflicts among users along certain trails.
- Lack of central coordination & agency collaboration on trail efforts in the region.
- NCDOT’s willingness to accommodate bicyclists, hikers & pedestrians.
- Lack of critical mass of users & volunteers to keep trails in usable condition.
- Acquiring land for new trails and encouraging new development to dedicate land for planned trails and greenways.

Other Challenges

- Maximizing grant and funding opportunities.
- Availability of land for new trails and greenways; limited right-of-way along roads and highways.
- Acceptance of multi-use designation on US Forest Service trails.
- Making small towns walkable outside of downtown areas.
- Rumble strip placement along highways.
- Upper Tellico Off Road Area is still being re-claimed; communities saw loss of economic activity.
- Keeping Tatham Gap Road near Andrews open year-round.
- Reducing encroachment of invasive plants.
- Respectful use of trails and education (e.g. leave no trace).
- Demand for off-highway vehicle trails but few places for them.
- Drawing visitors from Georgia to Hayesville from Jackrabbit Trails instead of them returning to Georgia after riding.
- Mountain bike trails need more broad-based planning to be successful.
- Maintaining the sanctity of mountain trails given the pressures
Chapter 4 A History of Success Stories

Western North Carolina has a storied history of trail development dating back to the founding of the Appalachian Trail (AT). In addition to this world-renown hiking trail, the Bartram Trail, Benton MacKaye Trail (named in memory of the AT’s founder), and the Mountains-to-Sea Trail serve as long-distance linkages throughout the region that showcase the areas diverse terrain and scenic vistas.

The region is also blessed with vast natural areas consisting of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park, several National Forests, the Joyce Kilmer Memorial Forest and scenic rivers. Numerous trails are located within or along these natural areas.

This chapter summarizes the history and function of these primary trails. It also showcases some of the small town success stories in hopes that their stories can serve as inspiration for other communities across the region and throughout North Carolina.

Appalachian Trail. As documented by the Appalachian Trail Conservancy, Benton MacKaye—a forester and government analyst and newspaper editor who was employed as a regional planner—proposed a series of work, study, and farming camps along the ridges of the Appalachian Mountains. MacKaye envisioned a trail connecting these destinations to serve as a refuge from urban life in the early 1900s.

The trail connecting them, from the highest point in the North (Mt. Washington in New Hampshire) to the highest in the South (Mt. Mitchell in North Carolina) was envisioned. Hiking was an incidental focus. MacKaye began promoting his idea within his network of friends and colleagues in Washington, New York, and Boston. He found supporters among the area’s hikers whose leaders led to the effort to make the now-famous “Maine to Georgia” slogan a goal for the AT.

MacKaye and the Regional Planning Association convened the “Appalachian Trail conference...for the purpose of organizing a body of workers to complete the building of the Appalachian Trail” in 1925. By 1937 the 2,000-mile trail was complete.

Today, the 88-mile stretch of the AT in North Carolina is considered one of the most rugged and memorable stretches of the trail. The Appalachian Trail Conservancy describes the North Carolina section of the trail as: South of the Smokies on the Appalachian Trail are the long climbs of the Stecoah-Cheoah Mountain area, then the outstanding Nantahala section, with 4,000-foot gaps and 5,000-foot peaks. Cheoah Bald offers panoramic views of western North Carolina. Like much of the AT in the deep South, users have a feeling of remoteness, and a sensation of being in deep forests. The variety of forest growth and the beauty of the flowering shrubs, along with the many spectacular views, make this entire section of Trail memorable. (The Appalachian Trail Conservancy.)

“Achieving this protected status is the result of the enthusiasm and concern of a host of hikers during half a century. Perhaps it is unrivaled by any other single feat in the development of American outdoor recreation.”

- Benton MacKaye,
The Appalachian Trail (1972)
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Mountains-to-Sea State Trail. North Carolina’s Mountains-to-Sea State Trail (MST) is a 1,000 mile effort to link Clingmans Dome in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park to Jockey’s Ridge on the Outer Banks. The MST is one of only four State Trails authorized to date by the North Carolina General Assembly as units of North Carolina’ State Park System.

More than just a walk in the woods, the MST route traces the diversity that is North Carolina. Trail users will experience ancient mountains and small Piedmont farms, coastal swamps and colonial towns, changing textile villages and barrier islands. Today, more than 600 miles of the MST have been constructed and are open to the public thanks to partnering land managing agencies, non-profit organizations and volunteers. And noted authors including Allen de Hart, “Hiking North Carolina’s Mountains-to-Sea Trail;” Scot Ward, “The Thru Hiker’s Manual for the Mountains-to-Sea Trail of North Carolina;” Danny Bernstein, “The Mountains-to-Sea Trail Across North Carolina;” and the Friends of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail organization identifies and promotes hiking NCDOT roads as temporary connectors between open sections of the MST.

Within the study area for the Regional Trails Plan, the Great Smoky Mountains National Park and the Blue Ridge Parkway National Park are great partners in this MST effort, authorizing the construction, blazing and opening of approximately 411 miles of the MST. It is also of vital importance to recognize the volunteers of the Carolina Mountain Club, an organization whose members have constructed and continue to maintain the MST in portions of Western North Carolina. Other volunteers will be needed to continue to build and maintain trails in other areas of the region west of Heintooga Ridge Road.

The amount of publicly-owned land and these strong partnerships have allowed construction of the MST to proceed more quickly in the mountains than anywhere else in North Carolina, including a 300-mile continuous stretch from Balsam Gap South on the Blue Ridge Parkway to Stone Mountain State Park. The “backbone” of the mountain trail is along the Blue Ridge Parkway with its extraordinary scenery and diverse natural and historic sites. The trail diverges off the Parkway into other areas renowned for their majesty such as the Middle Prong Wilderness Area in the Nantahala National Forest, Mount Mitchell State Park, and Linville Gorge Wilderness Area in the Pisgah National Forest.

The only remaining gap in the MST in the mountains is located where the Parkway approaches the Great Smoky Mountain National Park. In this area, topography, species concerns, narrow right-of-way/boundary, and tunnels restrict the ability to construct the trail. Private landowners in that area are unwilling to grant permission to build a trail on their land. Therefore State Parks requested that the Southwestern Commission use this regional planning process as an opportunity to consider new options for how the
MST can be routed to connect the Great Smoky Mountain National Park to the Blue Ridge Parkway.

These factors/constraints led the Division of Parks and Recreation and the State Trails Program to explore other MST routing options with all interested agencies and organizations. Great Smoky Mountains National Park and the Parkway have agreed to bridge the gap by connections to National Park Service lands. Currently, this is the only route option supported by both the Park and the Parkway.

The Division of Parks and Recreation contracted with the Southwestern Commission Council of Governments to conduct a trail inventory and plan their 7 county service area, and to consider potential MST routing options to bridge this missing gap in the MST. These routing options are outlined in Chapter 6 of this Plan.

**Bartram Trail.** The Bartram Trail is designated as a National Recreation Trail by the National Trails System Act of 1968. It is named in honor of naturalist William Bartram, who explored the area in 1773 to 1777 and documented during his travels the plants and animals of the region, and the Native American peoples he encountered. Bartram published an account of his travels in *Bartram’s Travels* in 1791.

The Bartram Trail stretches nearly 100 miles (62 in North Carolina) from Rabun County, Georgia, to Cheoah Bald in Graham County. In North Carolina, the trail curves in a north-to-west direction through western North Carolina, joining the Appalachian Trail at two points. On its path from the Georgia state line to Cheoah Bald it goes to the crest of the Blue Ridge Mountains and crosses the Fishhawk Mountains before descending to the Little Tennessee River Valley. Here the Bartram Trail Society has designated a stretch of the Little Tennessee River to Franklin a canoe trail. Thru-hikers must follow a series of country roads through the valley into Franklin.

Near and within the city limits of Franklin, the trail intersects the Little Tennessee Greenway, a footpath that winds along the river. The approximately 62 miles of the Bartram Trail in North Carolina are maintained by the NC Bartram Trail Society.
Recent planning efforts in Macon County have focused on finding a natural corridor for the trail as portions follow state routes through the County parallel to US 441.

**Benton MacKaye Trail.** Named in honor of the Appalachian Trail’s founding father, this trail stretches nearly 290 miles from Springer Mountain in North Georgia to Davenport Gap at the north end of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park near the North Carolina-Tennessee border. The trail parallels the state line in Graham and Cherokee Counties before entering North Carolina and skirting the north shore Fontana Lake. From there it traverses the southern third of the Park to its northern terminus at Davenport Gap. It intersects the Appalachian Trail north of Fontana Village.

The Benton MacKaye trail is a much narrower, more primitive trail and much less traveled than the Appalachian Trail. The intimate footpath nature of the trail is what attracts hiking enthusiasts. As Benton MacKaye was planning the Appalachian Trail, he also posed the idea of a network of “branch trails,” with two of them located in southern Appalachia. The Benton MacKaye Trail was conceived in 1975 by Georgia’s Department of Natural Resources Director David Sherman. Since then, the Benton MacKaye Trail Association has worked to establish the trail northward from its southern terminus.

*(Sections of this passage are adapted from Hiking the Benton MacKaye Trail, by Tim Homan, 2004.)*

---

**Community Case Studies**

The long-distance hiking trails throughout Western North Carolina have helped establish a recreational culture throughout the region that attracts visitors and helps sustain local economies. Many of these routes traverse vast open spaces and public lands. In other contexts, there are similar success stories that showcase small town achievements.

Who could have envisioned in the 1980s that Franklin and Murphy would have world-class greenways or that mountain bikers of all ages would flock to the shores of Lake Chatuge? Now, Western Carolina University is set to open seven miles of hiking and mountain biking trails adjacent to its campus.

Throughout the development of the 2013 Regional Trails Plan, four notable projects were continuously highlighted by participants and agencies as efforts for counties and towns in Western North Carolina to learn from and use as inspiration for future trail-building efforts. They were:

- Western Carolina University Trails System;
- Murphy River Walk & Canoe Trail;
- Little Tennessee River Greenway; and
- Jackrabbit Mountain Bike & Hiking Trails.

The following pages provide details about these projects, the background of each and the steps that led to implementation. Without countless hours of dedication by volunteers and organizations, these success stories would not be available for all to enjoy.
Western Carolina University Trail System

Length: 7 miles
Opened: 2013
Total Cost: $95,000
Volunteer Hrs: 200/yr.

The WCU Trail System is a multi-use, on-campus trail system designed for foot traffic (hiking and trail running) and mountain bikes and consists of 7 miles of single track, primitive surface trail. The trail system is important to the community because it offers easy access to trails from the WCU campus and Cullowhee without having to use a car to access trailheads in other parts of the county. It also doubles the amount of trails available to mountain bikes in Jackson County.

Background. The idea for the project started in 2008 as construction on WCU’s main campus caused fragmentation of the old WCU walking trail. At that point, it was determined there was great support from WCU and the surrounding community for a new trail. The project received a grant in 2009 from the Blue Cross Blue Shield of NC Foundation for planning, which was completed in 2010. The project received two grants in 2011, one from the Recreational Trails Program and one from Specialized Bicycle Company. Construction started in April 2012 and will be completed in Spring 2013.

Implementation. The first grant in 2009 paid for professional trail building, design and creating a master plan for the project. Construction has been a hybrid project combining volunteer labor with contract labor. Volunteers are in charge of corridor clearing and vegetation removal. The outdoor program of WCU—Base Camp Cullowhee—is in charge of the project and coordinating volunteer labor consisting of student groups, student clubs, church groups, general community members, and community organizations like the Nantahala Area SORBA (Southern Off Road Bicycle Association). Base Camp Cullowhee will also coordinate ongoing maintenance of the system.

Funding was a challenge but came together with the various grants. The project experienced delays in construction due to the acquisition of an Erosion and Sediment Control Permit from NCDENR. This project has served as a catalyst for future projects to obtain such permits more efficiently.

Josh Whitmore, WCU

www.wcu.edu/30290.asp

Murphy River Walk & Canoe Trail

Length: 2 miles
Opened: 2003- phase 1
Total Cost: $300,000
Volunteer Hrs: 6,000 (to build)
Users: 1,500 to 3,000/mo.

Walkers along Murphy’s River Walk wave to canoers on the Hiwassee River. Photo: River Walk

www.heritagepartners.org

The Murphy River Walk and Canoe Trail follows the Valley River and the Hiwassee River surrounding Murphy’s town center. The 2-mile greenway includes a center loop at the confluence of the two rivers. When the lake and river waters rise during the summer, the canoe trails are extended by a series of inlets. When waters recede, the Confluence Road, which includes part of the old Tennessee Street road surface, can be enjoyed by walkers.

Background. Initiated as a multi-phase master plan concept in July of 2002, the River Walk project was formally endorsed by the Mayor & Town Council and by the Cherokee County Board of Commissioners in January 2003. Phase 1 opened in the fall of 2003 at Konehete Park, and a master plan for its future phases was completed by the fall of 2004. Step by step, the River Walk was completed all the way in to the Old L&N Depot on Railroad Street at the Hiwassee Street river bridge in 2010.

Implementation. Enhancement and improvement activities continue on with the trail surfaces, native landscaping and interpretive markers along the way. The trail carries the potential of far reaching benefits to the community—health and recreational enhancements to Konehete Park and the Wellness center facility, economic renewal stimulus for the historic town center, eco and heritage tourism, environmental conservation, cultural and historic preservation, native plant and wildlife education, and more.

Confluence Loop Construction: With the basic trail now completed, this extra loop at the convergence of the Valley and Hiwassee rivers forming Lake Hiwassee is destined to be the most beautiful natural area on the trail. A grant was awarded by the Dub and Murray Martin Cherokee and Clay County Trust for the project.

Interpretive Signage Stations: The River Walk will feature both heritage and ecological interpretive stations along the trail. A grant was awarded in 2011 by the OEO Small Growing Opportunities grant program for the project.

Paula Bryan & John Strawn
Franklin’s Little Tennessee River Greenway

Providing a cornucopia of wildflowers and wildlife, the Little Tennessee River Greenway cuts a meandering path of nearly 5 miles through Franklin. Reflecting the extraordinarily rich diversity of Macon County’s landscape, visitors experience wetlands, stroll through two railroad cuts left behind by the Tallulah Falls Railroad, wander alongside an old pasture, catch sight of the desert agave, and experience the upland woods. The greenway connects to the 2.6-mile Lewis Soles Memorial Mountain Bike Trail.

Background. The greenway began as a dream of many residents, including members of the Nantahala Hiking Club, who saw the uninhabited land alongside the river and pictured it as a place to preserve the landscape while offering expanded recreational opportunities. Others saw it as a way to preserve Macon County’s heritage and bolster economic development. In 1997 Duke Power needed a powerline through Franklin. The unusable land along the river seemed to offer the most logical route. After the land was acquired, and the powerline built, Duke was ready to deed the land. Though not all of the Greenway lies in the transmission line easement, it represents almost 50% of the trail and became the catalyst that was needed to turn the Dream into a vision and ultimately into a reality.

Implementation. Duke and Nantahala Power & Light donated expertise in engineering, grant writing, web design, and getting-the-job-done. They created a steering committee of community leaders to oversee implementation. Barbara McRae, formerly with NP&L, wrote two major grants to bring in the cash to restore the riverbanks, build trails, picnic shelters and bridges across the river. These grants included a NC Clean Water Management Trust Fund grant and a NC Parks and Recreation Trust Fund grant for $250,000. F.P. (“Bodie”) Bodenheimer, II, owner and CEO of Zickgraf Industries, came up with the matching funds. The Little Tennessee River Greenway is maintained by volunteers from Friends of the Greenway (FrOGs).

Length: 5 miles
Opened: 2013
Total Cost: $95,000
Volunteer Hrs: 200/yr.
Users: 20,000/mo. (summer)

www.littletennessee.org

Children play in the splash park located along the Little Tennessee River Greenway in Franklin. Photo: Friends of the Greenway

Jackrabbit Mountain Bike & Hiking Trails

The Jackrabbit Trail is a 14.5 mile stacked loop mountain biking and hiking trail located 7 miles ESE of Hayesville, NC in Clay County near the NC/GA state line. The project is built on USFS land in the Nantahala National Forest, adjacent to TVA Lake Chatuge, and as such most of the trails have lake views. This project attracts mountain bikers and hikers alike for outdoor recreation, and is an element of our tourism economy. It provides local and regional students and residents healthy exercise and organized event opportunities. The trail design accommodates beginner to intermediate, and expert users. Since 2003, Southern Appalachian Bicycle Association (SABA) has been collaborating with the US Forest Service and the Clay Co. Communities Revitalization Association to develop the trail system.

Implementation. Proceeds from SABA fundraising events help benefit the progression and future maintenance of this project. The Jackrabbit Trail Project involved committed organizations who shared common goals: to promote mountain biking and hiking for recreation and fitness to all ages and skill levels, to promote mountain biking and hiking not only for a single community but for a region, and to promote environmental conservation and tourism.

Background. In the summer of 2001, the Clay County School System added mountain biking to its Pathways After School and Summer Program. Members from the local bicycle club volunteered to teach the students about bicycle safety, skills and etiquette. During this time, the need for an easily accessible trail system for all skill levels was recognized. Collaboration began between SABA, the Tusquittee Ranger District of the USFS, and the Clay County Communities Revitalization Association to construct trails on the peninsula off Lake Chatuge near the NC/Georgia border. The construction of the trails became a community effort with involvement of Clay County Schools, Clay County Health Department, area business, and various community organizations.

Length: 14.5 miles
Opened: 2008
Total Cost: $286,000
plus $52,000 in-kind
Volunteer Hrs: XXXXX
Users: XXXXX

www.sabacycling.com

A cyclist enjoys the Jackrabbit Trails on the shores of Lake Chatuge. Photo: Joana Atkisson

SABA & Ron Guggisberg
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Chapter 5 Regional, County Maps & Project Ideas

Participants in the fall 2012 workshops were asked to identify their ideas and top priorities for new trails or trail enhancements. The maps contained in this chapter reflect those ideas and illustrate the existing network of trails in Western North Carolina.

The concepts contained for each county in this Regional Trails Plan are the starting point for pursuing more detailed analysis and funding to implement the Plan’s recommendations. The North Carolina Department of Parks and Recreation’s State Trails Program oversees many of the funding sources available for trail improvements. As the funding source for this Plan the State requested that project ideas be identified based on the ability for communities, stakeholders and organizations to implement them and whether they are likely to be short- or long-term projects.

Long-Term Projects. These projects are those that are more complex in that they require detailed planning, land acquisition or complex routing to accomplish. They may also require approval from public agencies or a commitment of funding that requires several partners. A reasonable timeframe for implementation of projects considered “long-term” is 10 to 20 years. Most new trails, especially those of a length longer than 7 to 10 miles, would be considered long-term endeavors.

Short-Term Projects. Project falling into this category are less complex than long-term projects or already have some level of analysis or funding commitment for implementation. They are ideal candidates for applications to grant programs such as the Recreation Trails Program (RTP) or the Parks and Recreation Trust Fund (PARTF). Shorter trail connections, trailheads or enhancements to existing trails are likely to be considered “short-term” priorities.

Moving Toward Implementation. The figure at right illustrates where projects may fall on this continuum. Long-term projects are likely to be at the top stage of the “funnel” while short-term projects will fall into the bottom two tiers of the funnel.

Regional Priorities

The following project ideas arose during the course of the Regional Trails Plan process and are considered to be of regional significance based on their visibility, likelihood to promote economic development and have multi-county impacts if completed. They are not listed in priority order.

- Trail Development Within and Preservation of Municipal Watershed Areas: Several communities have obtained conservation easements for watershed areas. The Pinnacle Park area (Sylva watershed) and Rough Creek area (Canton watershed) are two notable examples. (Short-Term)
- Paddle Trail along the Hiwassee River: This project would include designation of the River as a paddle trail between Hayesville and Murphy. Workshop participants noted the need for access points as there are long stretches that require crossing private land. (Short-Term)
**Cherokee County Priorities**

◊ **Construct a trail to link the Murphy River Walk to the Hanging Dog Campground and Mountain Bike Trails:** This project was viewed by some as an extension of the River Walk to the popular camping and recreational area. Land along the north side of Hiwassee Lake is managed by TVA. *(Short-term)*

◊ ** Panther Top Area Trails:** Designate existing Forest Service roads and Panther Top Road on the south shore of Hiwassee Lake as bicycle / mountain bike route. *(Short-term)*

◊ **Andrews Greenway:** Complete the planned greenway system in the town of Andrews, as envisioned through the Valley Trails efforts of the Andrews Valley Initiative (AVI). *(Short-term to continue existing trails; Long-term for full-scale linkages)*

◊ **Rail-to-Trail or Rail-with-Trail between Murphy & Andrews:** The idea of a trail linking the two towns has been in discussions for several years. It would require either closure of the railway for a rails-to-trails conversion or land acquisition for rails-with-trails joint use in the corridor. *(Long-term)*

**Clay County Priorities**

◊ **Connect Jackrabbit Mountain Bike & Hiking Trails to Campground Trails:** This project is already in discussions with public agencies to connect the two trail systems. *(Short-Term)*

◊ **Designation of Scenic Bikeway on popular bicycle route around Lake Chatuge:** This is a popular route for recreational cyclists. The route would follow state and US highways in NC and Georgia. Construct shoulders along routes and develop special signage for Scenic Bikeway. *(Short-term for designation and signage; Long-term for shoulders and other enhancements)*

◊ **Complete Street / Multi-use Trail Linking Hayesville to Chatuge Dam and the Golf Course along Myers Chapel Road:** Complete street improvements could include a combination of sidewalk and bikes lanes or construction of a multi-use trail or sidepath along the road and nearby lakeshore. *(Long-Term)*

**Graham County Priorities**

◊ **Robbinsville Greenway:** Construct new greenway along Long Creek and Tulula Creek, connecting Stanley Furniture plant area to the High School.
The Greenway was envisioned through *Reimagining Robbinsville* (2012) and is being evaluated in more detail for the *Robbinsville Pedestrian Connectivity Plan* (2013). The map below shows a conceptual route. *(Short-term)*

- **Trail connecting Cheoah Fitness to Robbinsville:** Construct a combination of sidewalks and nature trail from downtown Robbinsville to the Cheoah Fitness Trail on the south shore of Santeetlah Lake. *(Long-term)*

### Haywood County Priorities

- **Rough Creek Watershed Trails Trailhead:** Construct new trailhead at location more conducive to use by hikers and mountain bikers. Current trailhead requires a steep ascent to reach trail system. Town of Canton has applied for funding. *(Short-term)*
- **Mountain Bike Trails at Camp Daniel Boone:** Workshop participants noted the Boy Scouts were interested in developing mountain bike trails at the historic camp. *(Short-term)*
- **Richland Creek Greenway:** Construct greenway trail along Richland Creek from Balsam Community, through Waynesville, to connect to Lake Junaluska. Project identified in Waynesville Pedestrian Plan (2010). *(Long-term)*
- **Pigeon River Greenway:** Connect Clyde to Canton and Canton to Bethel with a greenway corridor. Walking trail exists in Canton Recreation Park (pedestrian only). *(Long-term)*
- **Preserve Allens Creek and Campbell Creek Watersheds:** Pursue conservation easements for land holdings in Allens Creek (Waynesville) and Campbell Creek (Maggie Valley) watersheds. *(Timeline based on efforts between property owners, land trusts and municipalities)*

### Jackson County Priorities

- **Tuckaseigee River Greenway:** Portions of the greenway are being developed between Highway 107 and Cullowhee. The Jackson County Green-
ways Project Comprehensive Master Plan (2009) envisioned a greenway along the river from Cullowhee, through Webster, to Dillsboro and potentially linking to Swain County. (Short-term for linkages under development; Long-term for expansion opportunities).

- **Pinnacle Park Trails Enhancement:** Sylva developed a master plan to enhance the trail system within the conservation easement obtained for its watershed. The vision for trails include hiking and mountain bike trails. (Short-term)
- **Tuckaseigee River Blueway Designation:** Pursue designation as envisioned in the Greenway Project Master Plan. (Short-term)
- **Cashiers/Glenville Pathway System:** A system of trails was identified in the Master Plan to link Cashiers to the Glenville area and Blue Ridge School. (Long-term)

### Macon County Priorities

- **Bartram Trail Linkages:** Pursue Complete Streets upgrades in the Clarks Chapel area to fill gaps in the Bartram trail and connect to Franklin along the Little Tennessee River. (Short-term)
- **Connect Little Tennessee River to Southwestern Community College and Macon County Library on Siler Road:** Construct connection from Little Tennessee River to area along Siler Road. Project may be greenway or combination of sidewalk and bicycle route. (Short-term)
- **Designate Little Tennessee River south of Franklin as Paddle Trail:** Designate river as blueway from Riverside Road put-in to Lake Emory. (Short-term)
- **Construct greenway or hiking trail loop around Franklin:** Branch from Little Tennessee River greenway to link other areas of Franklin with a multi-use trail or walking loop. May include trail link to Wallace Branch. (Long-term)
- **Evaluate potential for Mountain Bike Trails in northeast Macon County:** Participants identified an opportunity for mountain bike trails near the Jackson County line on public land between Goshen Road and Huckleberry Creek Road. (Long-term)

### Swain County & Qualla Boundary Priorities

- **Bryson City Greenway:** Construct greenway along Tuckaseigee River in Bryson City as envisioned in town’s Pedestrian Plan. (Short-term)
- **Cherokee Greenway & Pedestrian System:** Complete greenway trail along the Oconoluftee River and connect area sidewalks to popular destinations within Cherokee. (Short-term)
- **Trail Linking Bryson City to Nantahala Outdoor Center:** Construct greenway or footpath along Nantahala River, parallel to either the river or US 19/74. (Long-term)
Regional Map: Existing & Planned Trails
Cherokee County Trails Map
Clay County Trails Map
Haywood County Trails Map
Macon County Trails Map
Swain County Trails Map
Chapter 6 Mountains-to-Sea State Trail Routing Concepts

Since its conception in 1977, those tasked with implementation and maintenance of the Mountains-to-Sea State Trail (MST) have made great progress to fulfill the vision for the trail. While trails such as the Appalachian Trail were planned before development encroached on wilderness areas, newer trails such as the MST must route through growing metropolitan areas and in natural areas where a multitude of stakeholders and landowners have their own desires for use of nearby land. The Blue Ridge Parkway has been a backbone of the trail throughout the region and a major reason for its success.

The section of the MST needed to connect the Great Smoky Mountains National Park to the Blue Ridge Parkway at Heintooga Ridge Road (originally envisioned as a distance of approximately 12 miles) remains the only missing gap in the MST in Western North Carolina. The Blue Ridge Parkway right-of-way is too narrow to allow for construction of a trail in this area to route hikers around tunnels to complete the hike from the Park to the existing MST already designated on the Parkway.

The Great Smoky Mountains National Park has offered to bridge this missing gap by designating existing GSMNP trails (with support facilities) as a section of the MST. The pros and cons of this option are discussed on page 34. The Boards of County Commissioners of Swain and Jackson Counties have also voted to express their interest in establishing a trail route through their counties.

During the Regional Trails Plan effort, the Southwestern Commission engaged stakeholders to identify routing options to bridge this missing gap and to discuss other possible alternate alignments for the MST. Groups such as the Carolina Mountain Club (CMC) and Friends of the Mountain-to-Sea Trail (FMST), the Wilderness Society and Bartram Trail Society have contributed to the discussion. Several ideas have emerged in recent years to solve the challenge of finding an accomplishable, sustainable routing to bridge this missing section of the MST.

This chapter contains a preliminary evaluation of options to help the State of North Carolina, CMC, FMST and other stakeholders define accomplishable and sustainable route or routes the MST in this area of the state.

Some of the main ideas that emerged through this and other efforts include many distinct viewpoints:

- A desire for the MST to continue to provide a wilderness experience through public lands and remote areas along its existing route west of Waterrock Knob;
- A desire for the MST to give trails users the experience of a mountain river valley, an experience that is not available elsewhere along the MST.
- Opportunities for economic development and linking of trail towns along a route connecting Cherokee to Bryson City and Sylva along the Tuckaseigee River;
- Emerging ideas to link the Need-more Gamelands and Alarka High-lands area in the Cowee Mountain Range with a new trail;

Photo: FMST
Mountains-to-Sea State Trail: Alternate Proposals for Trail Routing
◊ Interest among elected officials in Macon County, Jackson County and Swain County to link their communities and towns; and,
◊ Dual or alternate alignments options for the MST.

Perhaps the only consistent theme that emerged through the Regional Trails Plan in relation to the routing of the MST is that few of the routes are short-term solutions (other than the route offered by the Great Smoky Mountains National Park). Private land ownership, Tribal Lands and constraints brought about by railroads, highway and rivers will continue to impact development of future MST routes in WNC. Some routes will make great regional trails with or without designation as the MST or as connectors.

Below is a summary of the many pros and cons that emerged through the Regional Trails Plan in relation to potential MST routes in WNC.

NOTE: The number of pros and cons for each route should not be construed as a weighting of options for routing of the MST. Some pros may outweigh cons based on timing, cost and feasibility. These pros/cons simply reflect the ideas of Plan stakeholders and the professionals who developed the Plan.

Option 1: Along Existing Trails in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park
This route is shown in BLUE on the map contained in this chapter and is the route offered by the Great Smoky Mountains National Park and the Blue Ridge Parkway to bridge the missing gap in the MST. This route could be recognized by the State as a segment of the Mountains-to-Sea State Trail quickly, as this route would use existing park trails and support facilities. There is a strong likelihood that Option 1 is the only alternative that could be accomplished within the next 10 years on existing trails and public lands.

ProS:
◊ Is located along existing marked trails with back-country campgrounds.
◊ Is supported by the Park and Parkway.
◊ Can be routed along existing trails on public lands to meet purpose of MST to connect to Clingmans Dome.
◊ Maintains “wilderness” feel of the trail common to most of the MST in Western North Carolina and supported by the CMC.
◊ Takes advantage of past trail building efforts to reach Heintooga Ridge Road.

Cons:
◊ Concerns expressed about promoting the trail in this section for through hikers because it’s a challenging, long hike.
◊ If this route is not designated as the MST, then section of currently constructed trails within the Parkway boundary between Heintooga Ridge Road and where other alternate routes intersect the Parkway boundary could be compromised.

Option 2: Original Planned Route Along or Near Blue Ridge Parkway using Gravel Roads
This route is shown in BROWN on the map and generally follows the originally intended route along the Parkway, except that it follows or parallels Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) roadways within the Qualla...
Boundary. This option is supported by the Carolina Mountain Club but does not currently have support from the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians. It is viewed as an inactive option unless support emerges from the Cherokee or other options emerge in the vicinity of the Blue Ridge Parkway.

**Pros:**
- Meets the original intent of the trail with less re-routing.
- Can be routed along existing roadways (unpaved) through the Qualla Boundary.
- Takes advantage of ongoing efforts to reach Heintooga Ridge Rd.

**Cons:**
- Currently lacks support from the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians.
- Some sections require evaluation of existing sewer and utility easements.
- Concerns over land management of designated road routing options along unpaved roads.

**Option 3: New Route along Tuckasegee River from Bryson City to Sylva**

This route would begin near Deep Creek Campground near Bryson City and follow the Tuckasegee River to Dillsboro and through Sylva. Planned greenways in Jackson County and trails within Pinnacle Park would connect the trail at Waterrock Knob. The route is generally shown in **BLACK** on the map. If MST designation does not occur along this route, it is still worth of evaluation as a future trail due to its potential value for connecting communities and economic development.

**Pros:**
- Connects towns in Western North Carolina and could contribute to increased economic development along the route.
- Provides an experience of a mountain river valley not encountered elsewhere in the mountain sections of the MST.
- Supported by FMST and Counties.
- Could attract more diverse users.

**Cons:**
- Constraints due to railroad, highways and other features along the route due to right-of-way width, traffic volumes and safety.
- Will likely require more costly investments due to land acquisition needs and potential for multi-use function in or near towns.
- Not supported as a single route option by CMC and other stakeholders who prefer a more natural experience (supported if a dual route option is pursued).
- Unless part of an alternate or dual route, then section of currently constructed trails within the Parkway boundary between Heintooga Ridge Road and where other alternate routes intersect the Parkway boundary could be compromised.

**Options 4: Connect through Needmore Gamelands and Upper Alarka**

The route that connects public lands in the Needmore and Alarka areas, shown in **ORANGE** on the
map, is a trail system that warrants evaluation regardless of whether or not it is designated as part of the MST. Options for this route are part of the MST include a re-routing through this area or a dual routing option (describe in next section) along the Little Tennessee River and through the Cowee Mountain Range. The route was recommended by The Wilderness Society and the Land Trust for the Little Tennessee. Trails within these public lands are seen as desirable with or without MST designation and could be designated locally as connector trails.

**Pros:**
- Connects existing public lands along forest service roads.
- Maintains “wilderness” feel similar to route option through the Park.
- Potential linkage to Bartram Trail.
- Traverses Macon, Jackson and Swain Counties.

**Cons:**
- Long-term implementation steps likely to establish new trails.
- Adds considerable mileage to the MST and may inhibit through hikers.
- Will require land acquisition or Complete Streets upgrades to connect beyond public lands.
- Unless part of an alternate or dual route, then section of currently constructed trails within the Parkway boundary between Heintooga Ridge Road and where other alternate routes intersect the Parkway boundary could be compromised.

**Dual or “Loop” Options**
The concept of dual route designations or a loop trail (e.g. Route A through towns; Route B through wilderness areas) also emerged during Trails Plan discussions and is supported by FMST. FMST envisions this as a “Great Smoky route” and a “River Valley route”. The merits of such an idea are worth exploring but should be considered carefully in terms of how it impacts potential users. Currently, Great Smoky Mountains National Park and the Blue Ridge Parkway do not support more than one route designation.

**Pros:**
- A town-based vs. wilderness-based route would cater to a variety of hikers (long-distance hikers and day hikers) and potentially other users such as bicyclists along a multi-use trail.
- A dual route option can generate interest in communities and towns to create a broader network of volunteers and champions.
- Dual routes would continue to support and promote efforts by the Carolina Mountain Club and others who have been working on the route near the Park.

**Cons:**
- Confusion could arise as to the routing of the trail in terms of wayfinding and intended purpose of the trail;
- The precedent of establishing dual or alternate routes could impact the State and its relationships with other communities in North Carolina.

The Blue Ridge Parkway can be seen adjacent to Bureau of Indian Affairs highways near the planned route of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail. Using a network of these BIA roads to route hikers around tunnels has been posed as one routing option for the Trail.

*Photo: Don Kostelec*
who might desire similar designation for the MST. The State has allowed for dual route designation in the Piedmont region near Winston-Salem; and

- Potential conflict in independent funding pursuits among counties, towns, non-profits, land conservancies, and other stakeholders as they seek implementation of dual routes. Careful coordination would need to occur among many stakeholders to avoid such competition.

**Recommendations**

The following steps are recommendations for the North Carolina State Parks to pursue designation of a current route to complete the Mountains-to-Sea Trail in Western North Carolina while encouraging groups such as the Friends of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail, Carolina Mountain Club, the Counties and other stakeholders to pursue other complementary routing options identified in this Plan.

**Step 1:** Designate the Mountains-to-Sea Trail along existing trails offered by the Great Smoky Mountains National Park (Option 1) as the “Great Smoky Mountains Section of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail”, while acknowledging that there is community support for a complementary/dual routing option that includes the “Original Planned Route along or near the Blue Ridge Parkway,” and the “river valley route” along or near the Tuckasegee River.

**Step 2:** Identify the “river valley route” (Option 3) as a “Potential Alternate Routes for the MST” route for the MST. The river valley route is supported by the Friends of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail and the Board of County Commissioners in Jackson and Swain Counties, as well as the Carolina Mountain Club if designated as a dual route with the “Great Smokies route.” A dual routing option is not currently supported by the Great Smoky Mountains National Park and the Blue Ridge Parkway.

**Step 3:** Once the “river valley route” is completed or nearing completion, North Carolina State Parks should consider designating it as a complementary, dual or alternate section of the MST and label the routes accordingly. This will require continuing dialog with the National Parks.

**Step 4:** Allow for a future option of designating the Mountains-to-Sea Trail along “Original Planned route along or near the Blue Ridge Parkway”, as Option 1 through the Park adds considerable length to the originally-intended route. There is no timetable for Step 4 as any action on it is strictly predicated by actions of the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians and/or the Blue Ridge Parkway. If this routing is approved and constructed, the State should consider designating this section as the “Primary Route” of the MST.
Chapter 7 Health & Economic Impacts of Trails

The network of trails and greenways throughout Western North Carolina (WNC) has the potential to provide recreational opportunities that contribute to the overall health and well-being of citizens and visitors, as well as local and regional economies. This chapter summarizes key themes emerging in the fields of health and economics indicating the return on investment in trails and greenways can be substantial.

**Public Health**

The health influences of trails cannot be ignored. Small towns and rural areas generally lack the resources to construct parks, greenways and recreation facilities—and maintain them—to the same degree as larger cities. But WNC has always taken advantage of its close proximity to natural areas where many trails and other recreational opportunities exist.

Methods to evaluate and measure health outcomes consist of a variety of measures and data points. We know that constructing trails and greenways throughout Western North Carolina will largely bring about positive impacts in numerous areas of health. The potential for such investments to impact the health of individuals through increased physical activity is well-documented and generally accepted by health professionals, elected officials and citizens. There also exist opportunities to improve not only physical health, but mental health as well as social and environmental factors. Trails and greenways are seen as facilities that provide for greater social interaction, family bonding and a connection to nature that has proven to be beneficial in stress reduction and well-being. The illustration at right depicts the imbalance in causal factors of what makes us healthy versus where our public funding goes toward addressing health. It indicates that greater investments in healthy behavior—of which trails, greenways and bikeways are part—has greater opportunity to contribute to preventive actions before expenditures on medical services are required.

In recent years, counties throughout Western North Carolina have been engaged in conducting Community Health Assessments (CHA). The region is now engaged through the Community Transformation Project in identifying ways to provide greater health living opportunities to residents through access to healthy foods, improvements in the built environment to consider public health, and tobacco prevention programs. By considering health outcomes of investments in trails, the region stands to gain both a deeper understanding of health impacts stemming from trails as well as opportunities to engage new partners in funding of new trails and greenways.

The Jackrabbit Mountain Bike and Hiking Trails in Clay County (profiled in Chapter 4) are a great example of how community members, health organizations, the school system and government agencies coordinated—with an eye toward improving overall public health—to build new trails and develop programs to teach schoolchildren proper riding techniques.

This is just one example of many throughout the region. The Murphy River Walk has a trailhead at a local wellness center. BicycleHaywoodNC received a grant...
from Healthy Haywood to purchase new bicycles for Haywood County Schools. Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Carolina contributed to the planning for the Western Carolina University Trails System.

Through the Community Transformation Project, which is a five-year program aimed at improving overall public health, the following implementation strategies have been identified that have a direct or indirect relationship to trails and greenways in Western North Carolina:

- Increase the number of communities that implement comprehensive plans for land use and transportation;
- Increase the number of community organizations that promote joint use/community use of facilities (such as schools);
- Increase the number of communities that support farmer’s markets, mobile markets and farm stands; and
- Increase the number of ways in which a community provides support for individuals with high blood pressure/cholesterol, heart disease, diabetes and other related ailments, including Eat Smart / Move More and Weigh Less programs.

The illustration to the left illustrates what is called the “Energy Balance” that individuals must achieve to maintain a healthy weight. Trail investments have the potential to directly impact increased energy expenditures and relate to the features outlined in dotted blue lines in this chart. Trail investments should be considered in combination with plans and policies.

Source: Accelerating Progress in Obesity Prevention: Solving the Weight of the Nation (2012)
that also promote access to healthy foods and healthy eating habits to help individuals achieve the desired energy balance to maintain a health weight.

**Economic Impacts of Trails**

While new trails require significant investment from several agencies, organizations and funding sources, communities that have seriously undertaken investment programs for trails and greenways have seen far-reaching positive economic impacts. The impacts range from creating a healthier lifestyle for residents to attracting businesses to the area and improving the transportation system by increasing options for users making short or moderate trips in small towns.

Participants in the Southwestern Commission Regional Trails Plan workshops indicated a strong desire to promote the region as a recreation destination, increase opportunities for mountain biking, designate communities as Trail Towns, and link greenways and trails to schools and parks.

A 2011 article in the *Macon County News & Shopping Guide* about Franklin’s designation as an Appalachian Trail Community cited a survey of trail users reporting an annual income of more than $40,000 and estimated each hiker spent $153 during their time in Franklin. Nearly 70% indicated staying one night in the area with 26% staying two to four nights.

The Virginia Creeper Trail between Abingdon and Damascus, Virginia is one of the most studied trail corridors and was estimated to provide a positive impact to communities along the route. Trail users were estimated to spend $2.5 million per year during their visits, including $1.2 million by non-local users. This led to the creation of 30 jobs in the area.

The diagram on the next page illustrates the many ways in which direct and indirect trail-related expenditures impact area economies, including sales tax, income tax and income for non-profit and trail-related organizations. These are but a few of the impacts and show just how complex the economic formulas to identify actual economic benefits must be to gain a comprehensive understanding of them.

**Indirect Benefits.** Indirect effects were a component of the 2004 report conducted by the North Carolina Department of Transportation on the economic effects of cycling in the Outer Banks region of North Carolina, which remains a benchmark study for the state on this topic. The range of economic impact from bicycling was estimated to be between $15 million and $149 million per year.

The consideration of indirect benefits encompasses health effects of increased trail use/walking, improved mental benefits of exercising, reductions in mobile source emissions for trips that replace an automobile with a non-motorized mode of travel, new or expanded business opportunities that key on trail users and their needs, and the impacts to adjacent property values.

The impacts to property values – and hence public tax revenues assessed on property – through externalities also create a conversation about economic benefits of greenways.
Trail Economics: How Greenways, Trails & Bike Routes Impact Local Economies
Chapter 8 Maintenance & Operations

It is critical for agencies and organizations who pursue new trails and greenways to have an understanding of what is required to operate and maintain a new facility. This Plan showcases the many opportunities for new or enhanced trails in Western North Carolina. Besides funding, major influences of what a future trails network could look like are the degree to which volunteers are able to maintain the trails and attracting a critical mass of users on the trails to prevent encroaching foliage due to underutilization.

Ownership
The ability to perform maintenance and who is able to perform maintenance on trails can vary by who owns the land on which a trail sits.

In natural areas and on public lands, volunteer organizations such as hiking clubs, mountain biking clubs and back country horsemen are the backbone of trail maintenance efforts. The passion of these users and respect for the land on which they recreate drives them to take on maintenance responsibilities that decrease the financial burden on federal, state and local agencies.

Volunteers cut new trails, conduct regular maintenance sweeps, and remove obstructions after the winter season or major storms. On Federal lands, volunteers are required to undergo training on proper procedures to maintain trails on public lands. Skills such as saw-cutting and erosion control are part of the curriculum.

On greenways closer to small towns or rural communities, volunteers are still a critical element in any maintenance endeavor but their utilization is dependent on the degree of maintenance that is required. Volunteers cannot operate heavy equipment required to re-pave asphalt trails but may be used in support roles as town and county governments conduct such maintenance activities.

The partnership between public agencies and volunteers who maintain trails is a win-win relationship. Agencies reduce their fiscal burdens by securing free labor to maintain a public resource and volunteers showcase their passions by helping protect the places in which they recreate.

Non-profit groups try to avoid owning land due to liability concerns. Public agencies, which are shielded from litigation related to land ownership, take ownership in exchange for low-cost maintenance. Public ownership also contributes to the ability of land to receive federal disaster aid if major storms disturb trail alignments or cause trails to erode.

Maintenance
The two tables contained in this chapter illustrate the many ways in which volunteers can be sought to maintain trails and how frequently maintenance activities should take place.

Groups such as SORBA, Back Country Horsemen of Pisgah and Western North Carolina, the Friends of the Greenway (FrOGs) in Franklin, the Carolina Mountain Club, the Benton MacKay Trail and Associ-
### Trail Maintenance: Partners, Roles & Responsibilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Maintenance &amp; Operations Activities</th>
<th>Parks &amp; Recreation Departments, Municipal Parks Departments, Contractors</th>
<th>Volunteers, Other Non-Profit or Contract Organizations</th>
<th>Facilities Maintenance, Municipal Public Works, Transportation Departments, Contractors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Spot / Incident:** Occurs as necessary or warranted. | • Citizen Response  
• Low Water Crossing / Warning Signs  
• Major Debris Removal  
• Securing Temporary Signage  
• Identify Detours  
• Information Dissemination  
• Special Events Policies & Permitting  
• Lighting Replacement | • Citizen Response  
• Spot Improvement & Incident Reporting  
• Water New Vegetation  
• Minor Debris Removal  
• Placing Temporary Signage  
• Information Dissemination  
• Special Event Monitoring / Support | • Asphalt Spot Patches  
• Major Debris Removal  
• Graffiti Control  
• Parking Lot Repair  
• Major Debris Removal |
| **Regular:** Programmed or continuous at logical intervals based on features and their needs. | • Scheduling Major Maintenance Tasks  
• Trail Edge / Path Weed Treatment  
• Major Mowing & Trimming  
• Trash Disposal  
• Plant & Trim Trees  
• Stock, Clean Amenities  
• Rotary/Machine Sweeping  
• Bollards / Bollard Locks  
• Sign Replacement  
• Mapping  
• Volunteer Training  
• Accident & Incident Tracking  
• Pest Management | • Trail Inspection & Condition Surveys  
• Scheduling Minor Maintenance Tasks  
• Minor Mowing & Trimming  
• Removing noxious weeds  
• Trail Shoulder / Borrow Ditch Clean-up  
• Trash Collection  
• Planting Shrubs, Grasses & Flowers; Mulch Planting Beds  
• Locking / Securing Trailheads & Access Points  
• Hand Tool Sweeping  
• Volunteer Training Support  
• Accident & Incident Monitoring | • Asphalt Crack Sealing / Seal & Cover  
• Shoulder Protection & Maintenance  
• Large Scale Vegetation Removal  
• Dust Management  
• On-Street Sidewalk & Connecting Route Maintenance |
| **Long-Term:** Requires major planning, budgeting and coordination for anticipated investments or major initiatives. | • Fence & Structure Paint / Maintenance  
• Trail Location Signage / Wayfinding  
• Major Amenities Procurement & Replacement  
• Habitat / Environmental Maintenance & Control  
• Secure Funding | • Benches & Table Paint / Maintenance Support / Pursue Funding | • Asphalt Seal & Cover / Overlay  
• Centerline Striping / Crosswalk Markings  
• Street Location Signage & Lighting  
• Bridge inspections & Maintenance  
• Fencing & Railing  
• Drainage / Borrow Ditches, Culverts |

*Source: Buncombe County Greenways & Trails Master Plan (2012)*
ation and others are active in maintaining trails.

As stated earlier, their ability to maintain trails is limited to the types of duties. The tables in this chapter are intended to help agencies, non-profits and volunteers more clearly understand the potential roles they can play in trail maintenance.

**Trail Surfaces**
The most important interface between trail users and the trail is the surface. It controls the activities that can be undertaken along the trail and impacts the quality of the user’s experience. Trail surfaces can include dirt, gravel, cinders and asphalt and there is no one-size fits all surface type.

The characteristics of the trail surface such as width and surface type help communicate to the user what type of activity is appropriate. For example, narrow, natural surface trails indicate users should be expected to traverse more natural terrain and should expect to move slowly and with caution to avoid conflict with other users. Use may be restricted to certain users based on other design characteristics.

Wide, paved or gravel surfaces indicate use by several user types of more varying speeds. Design features such as horizontal and vertical curvature of the trail is an important indicator of how users are expected to travel along the trail.

In any condition, the trail is expected to have a firm and stable surface. It should be a goal of trail construction and maintenance that the trail is accessible to the broadest cross-section of user types, based on setting.

Many laws dictate how to design for universal accessibility. Greenways and urban trail connections should consider how persons with mobility impairments experience the route while trails in natural settings have less stringent regulations pertaining to the Americans with Disabilities Act (although there are still considerations to understand and adhere to).

**Paved vs. Un-Paved Trails**
There is no universal standard that dictates whether or not a trail should be paved or un-paved. It is oftentimes a community preference or can be a requirement of a funding source. Trails in natural settings are almost always un-paved, but may be paved for short segments to prevent erosion. Greenways are oftentimes paved due to the high level of use, but high-use trails such as the Virginia Creeper are un-paved. Un-paved greenways can require more maintenance and may be more difficult to ensure accessibility to persons with mobility impairments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Weekly</th>
<th>Monthly</th>
<th>Seasonal</th>
<th>Yearly</th>
<th>As Needed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspection &amp; conditions survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incorporate with GIS/mapping info.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Un-paved trail surface repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>After winter season, major storms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved trail surface repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement markings maintenance / replacement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resurface non-asphalt trail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>After major storm or erosion event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pothole repair and other patches on non-asphalt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>After storm, flood or erosion event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove trash &amp; debris from trailside land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Varies based on setting/context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf removal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree pruning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant new vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Primarily in springtime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed control &amp; pest management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove fallen trees from trails</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>After winter season, major storms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty trash at trailheads &amp; along trails</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greenways: Weekly; Trails: Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping/gardening at trailheads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair / maintenance of signs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrols on greenway trails</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May be daily or on weekends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of lighting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge &amp; other heavy infrastructure maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 9 Implementation

This Plan is intended to be the starting point for more robust efforts to coordinate trail planning and implementation efforts in Western North Carolina. The efficacy of any plan is only as good as the ability for agencies, stakeholders and citizens to oversee implementation of the recommendations contained within the Plan.

The preceding chapters of the Regional Trails Plan contain many ideas related to implementation. This chapter outlines further efforts aimed at regional coordination and continuation of the long-standing momentum to make Western North Carolina a destination for recreationists and a place that attracts residents and businesses who want access to many recreational opportunities offered throughout the area.

Adopting the Plan. The State of North Carolina, which funded this Plan, requests the Southwestern Commission’s Board to adopt the Regional Trails Plan. This action establishes the Plan as the guiding vision for future trail planning and implementation efforts. It is desired that each Board of County Commissioners within the region also consider endorsing the Plan or building upon it.

Implementing the Plan. Adoption of this Plan does not constitute a mandate for any public agency within the region to fund actions recommended herein. But implementation of the Plan will require dedication of both financial and human resources.

Many of the future trail alignments recommended in this Plan require more detailed evaluation. Counties may undertake countywide greenway and trail planning efforts, such as those conducted in Jackson County. Towns may conduct more detailed Greenways and Pedestrian plans such as those adopted by Bryson City, Cherokee, Sylva, and Waynesville. Other plans, such as the Haywood County Comprehensive Bicycle Plan and the Blue Ridge Bicycle Plan (covering Haywood, Jackson and Swain Counties), may be beneficial for specific user groups.

Some trails will require detailed corridor studies and almost all will require some level of design and land acquisition before construction can begin.

Coordination & Funding Pursuits

The idea emerged through the Regional Trails Plan effort that a Regional Trails Coordinator position be considered to help align the many interests for expanding and enhancing the region’s trail system. Funding for such a position does not exist today.

The logical agency to take on the role of regional trails coordination is the Southwestern Commission. While hiring a part-time or full-time trails coordinator may be a long-term implementation action, the agency can serve in a coordinating role in other ways:

- Organize a Regional Trails Committee to meet on a regular or as-needed basis to help oversee implementation of this plan;
- Conduct an annual or semi-annual Trails Symposium in the region to highlight recent accomplishments and promote information exchange.

“Just stick with it. It is a multi-year project but it can be accomplished if you keep the pressure on. It takes a consistent leader willing to work around road blocks to make it happen.”

- Josh Whitmore, Western Carolina University, about the implementation efforts for the WCU Trails.
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among organizations and agencies;
◊ Produce an annual report of trail-related accomplishments;
◊ Oversee funding pursuits within the region to help ensure that towns, counties and other organizations within Western North Carolina are not unnecessarily competing against one another for limited resources; and
◊ Identify strategies and funding sources to hire a part-time or full-time regional trails coordinator.

User-Friendly Resources
A trail is only as good as the ability of the user to understand where it can take them. User-friendly materials and user-friendly amenities are critical to attracting visitors. New places, especially those with dense forests and mountain locations, can be intimidating to novice or out-of-town hikers, bicyclists, and equestrians. Communities should make every attempt to develop promotional materials that make for a more user-friendly experience.

Most counties now have Travel and Tourism Agencies/Authorities who are tasked with using taxes generated from area lodging to help entice more visitors to the area. These authorities have a track record of helping other public agencies and organizations produce signage and materials for visitors.

Trail Maps & Guides. Every town, every trail, every greenway wants to establish its own identity that best illustrates the community and its values. This identity should be recognized and protected; howev-
er, the region should also work to identify a common theme or identity to collaboratively promote and enhance its trails. Maps should have common features and should indicate where linkages to other trails exist, even if those linkages are not neatly arranged within existing political boundaries.

Every trail or greenway should have its own brochure, map and online presence. Users should know where to access food, water and supplies. Day hikers can easily be intimidated by maps produced for hiking trails that include technical information such as detailed topographical information.

The region can tap the resources of stakeholders such as Western Carolina University or community colleges—their professors and students—to organize an effort to establish common parameters for these materials to make them user-friendly.

**Trail Towns.** A model for designating trail towns is the Appalachian Trail Conservancy’s Appalachian Trail Community™ program. It is designed to recognize communities that promote and protect the Appalachian Trail. According to the Conservancy, the program assists communities with sustainable economic development through tourism and outdoor recreation, while preserving and protecting the AT Designation as an Appalachian Trail Community™. Franklin is the only community in Western North Carolina that has this designation.

The Conservancy notes that participation in the program is aimed to:

- Engage community citizens, visitors and stewards;
- Recognize and thank communities for their service to the Trail and hikers;
- Act as a catalyst for enhancing sustainable economic development;
- Aid local municipalities and regional areas with conservation planning; and
- Help local community members see the Trail as a resource and asset.

(www.appalachiantrail.org)

The goals and purpose of the designation is replicable for many of the communities in Western North Carolina whether or not they receive designation.

When communities acknowledge they are a trail town, they articulate to community members, businesses, organizations and visitors that trail activities are encouraged and promoted.

The region should organize an official effort for communities to become more trail-friendly through the same goals established by the Appalachian Trail Conservancy.

**Trail-Friendly Businesses.** Another movement for trail communities is designation of trail-friendly businesses that provide special services to trail users. The region can organize its own local network of trail-friendly businesses to help promote the area’s resources and ensure visitors consistent access to information, supplies and amenities. Some common trail-friendly business characteristics include:

- Franklin, through its Appalachian Trail Community designation efforts, produced a Hiker Services brochure that includes a map of places in and near town for hikers to stay, eat and replenish supplies.
A rural Complete Streets example is located along US 74 near the Nantahala Gorge where NCDOT has installed a wide shoulder and labeled it as a bike lane between a mountain bike trailhead and a nearby parking area and lodging.
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- Accommodations for trail users at lodging locations, including storage of equipment;
- Stocking of common trail user supplies, such as maps, water, non-perishable and healthy food items, overnight or daily parking for trail users, and bicycle repair supplies such as tubes;
- Consistent information available at each business, such as brochures or access to Wi-Fi;
- Staff knowledgeable of trail user needs and area destinations.

A local example of this idea in action is an effort by BicycleHaywoodNC to secure commitments from grocery and convenience stores along popular bicycling routes to stock supplies and information for bicyclists in exchange for promoting the businesses on route cue sheets and brochures.

**Complete Streets**

Complete Streets are defined as roads that are safe for all users of all abilities at all times. NCDOT adopted its Complete Streets policy in 2009, which is aimed at incorporating the needs of users such as pedestrians and bicyclists when planning, designing, constructing and maintaining public highways.

Complete Streets are not just applicable to urban areas with sidewalks and bike lanes. They are just as important to rural areas, especially on rural roads that provide linkages to area trails. NCDOT should work with small towns and rural communities to identify the appropriate Complete Streets solutions.

In some places, a Complete Streets application may...
simply be a wider shoulder along a highway that connects a campground to mountain bike trails (as has been done along US 74 in the Nantahala Gorge). In other places, a greenway may require a sidepath along a highway or a bridge to make a critical linkage.

Southwestern Commission should adopt a Complete Streets policy that aims to integrate the needs of hikers, pedestrians and bicyclists into NCDOT practices and articulate to the agency the unique needs of rural areas in this regard.

**Performance Measures**

A greater degree of emphasis is placed on the performance of trail-related projects, programs and policies. Federal legislation pertaining to funding of trails and greenways now requires many agencies and funding sources to measure the performance of proposed investments. The table at right highlights several categories of evaluation techniques to measure the effectiveness of greenways and trails investment.

As with funding pursuits, the Southwestern Commission is the logical agency to track performance of the region’s trails system. That does not mean, however, that the agency should be tasked with collecting data related to trail usage and other performance measures. Those responsibilities can and should rest with the organizations already serving as stewards of the many trails in the region. The role of the Southwestern Commission can be to:

- Define which metrics are useful and attainable;
- Compile an annual or semi-annual report of performance measures on trails in the region; and
- Serve as a resource for organizations wishing to use the measures to apply for funding.

Whether or not they are required by a funding source or by law, it is good practice to be tracking measures such as these to help provide documentation for grant pursuits, confidently showcase the benefits of the system to the public, and help evaluate how and where future investments are made.

### Potential Trails & Greenways Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miles of greenways &amp; trails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New miles of greenways &amp; trails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents within 1-mile of access points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor Plans completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity of other bike/ped facilities, river access, recreational opportunities, closing gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in costs over time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding / Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of volunteer hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual allocation / contributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff time contributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of funding partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants pursued / awarded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Usage / Participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User counts, by mode, user type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of trail-related organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People engaged through public outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations to groups, businesses, schools, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants in education, encouragement &amp; training activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopt-a-Trail partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Routes to Schools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acreage preserved for future use, environmental &amp; agricultural conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New jobs located near trails/greenways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in health factors (long-term)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Forest Service Plans
The U.S. Forest Service began revising the Nantahala and Pisgah National Forests Land and Resource Management Plan in November 2012. The process is expected to take three to four years to complete. When revision is completed, the Plan will guide management of the Nantahala and Pisgah National Forests for approximately 15 years. The Forest Service published the original Plan in 1987. A significant amended was published in 1994, and smaller amendments occurred in subsequent years.

While this plan will impact Western North Carolina, its bearing on the Regional Trails Plan is limited as the Regional Trails Plan did not evaluate specific policy and routes within the National Forests to the degree that will occur with the USFS Plan.

Southwestern Commission should participate in the USFS Plans and work to incorporate any findings of these Plans into regional mapping and future planning efforts.

Each national forest and grassland is governed by a management plan in accordance with the National Forest Management Act. These plans set management, protection and use goals and guidelines.

Revision of the USFS Plan will occur in three phases:

1. Assessment: During this phase, the Forest Service will collect and compile data and other information on the current state of the Nantahala and Pisgah National Forests. The assessment phase will focus on what changes are needed to the management plan for the two national forests. Numerous public meetings will take place to receive input from stakeholders during this period.

2. Planning Period: During this phase, the Forest Service will analyze the data collected; determine the management practices needed to accomplish the desired goals and the effects those management practices may have on the land; draft the revised Plan; receive and respond to public comment; and release the final Plan.

3. Monitoring: The monitoring phase begins after the final Plan is released and continues throughout the Plan period. During this phase, the Forest Service monitors the progress of Plan implementation to make sure goals are being achieved.

Timeline for USFS Plan Revision:
◊ Assessment (Phase 1) – November 2012- Fall 2013
◊ Planning Period (Phase 2) – Fall 2013-2015/Early 2016
◊ Monitoring Phase (Phase 3) – Early 2016 and Beyond

On the heels of the Regional Trails Plan, the US Forest Service will be updating its master plans for land management and trails within the National Forests in Western North Carolina. These planning efforts should be tracked by Southwestern Commission for potential impacts on other trail efforts.
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Appendix: Supplemental Materials

The Appendix contains the following documents:

◊ Results of the Survey Monkey tool developed for review of the draft Plan (February - September 2013);

◊ Letter regarding the Mountains-to-Sea Trail routing options, as received from stakeholders on the organizations’ official letterhead. These include:
  ♦ Bartram Trail Society
  ♦ Carolina Mountain Club
  ♦ Friends of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail
  ♦ Great Smoky Mountains National Park
  ♦ Swain County Resolution on MST routing
  ♦ Wilderness Society
Survey Responses to Draft Regional Trails Plan (February through September 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clay County</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherokee County</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham County</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haywood County</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macon County</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swain County</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I live in Georgia</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I live in Tennessee</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I live elsewhere in Western North Carolina</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. How would you describe your level of interest in this Plan? You may choose more than one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am interested, but I rarely use trails and greenways</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I frequently use trails and greenways in Western North Carolina</td>
<td>79.5%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a long-distance hiker</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a day-hiker</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a mountain biker</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a road cyclist</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am an equestrian trail user</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am an Off-Highway Vehicle (OHV) user</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am involved with a local volunteer or advocacy organization related to trails and greenways</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question: 44
skipped question: 1
3. Are there any existing trails or other features that we have not included on the regional or county-specific maps? If so, please provide a comment related to those features and indicate the appropriate map.

9/3/2013 10:00 PM
The waterfalls in the Alarka area of Swain County could be included in the Plan. Existing and future Greenways could include Play Pocket features - elements of Natural Playscapes - to help children, teens, and families engage with outdoor activities. Natural Playscape features have been around since the 1940s in Europe and are booming in popularity in the USA. These features inspire robust outdoor play for children, even those who have been estranged from Nature Play per having an Indoor Childhood. Birding Trails could be included in the development of the Plan.

8/30/2013 10:28 PM
no

8/15/2013 8:46 AM
Excellent coverage

8/12/2013 9:56 AM
You should have included my book "The Mountains-to-Sea Trail Across North Carolina" by Danny Bernstein (The History Press, 2013) as one of the promoters of walking roads (pg. 15). My book has popularized the MST in the whole state and nationally. See the review at http://www.nationalparkstraveler.com/review/2013/mountains-sea-trail-across-north-carolina-walking-thousand-miles-through-wildness-culture-and-histor23723 The book is the newest book on the MST and the most up-to-date.

8/10/2013 1:22 PM
Looks very complete.

8/8/2013 9:39 AM
Communities with lodging, campground business, re-supply businesses.

8/7/2013 12:16 AM
Don't know of any.

8/6/2013 9:37 PM
No

8/6/2013 3:38 PM
No.

3/19/2013 8:17 AM
Honestly, for Jackson Co, there is just too much on this one map. It's not possible to have a good map that covers this much area with the detail needed to use it to find what you want. However, if you want to show it as a 'big picture', then refer to smaller maps that cover the desired area, you will be able to see the detail needed. Perhaps if I were able to view a large version of it, rather than on my computer screen, I could look at it easier. Here goes: 1. I think it's a mistake to call Rt 19 from 276 to Maggie Valley a Greenway. Is there a Greenway there? 2. Pinnacle Park trails are already in existence, though they are not sustainable going up the West and East Fork routes from the parking area at the end of Fisher Creek Rd. There is wonderful potential for much more enjoyable hiking and biking if sustainable trails were built. 3. Sorry, this just isn't feasible for me to provide much more.

3/3/2013 8:21 PM
Mentioned in the "Other Opportunities" section....I would love to see the possible "more natural" routes for connecting the Bartram Trail in Macon County through Franklin. What are the possibilities/advantages of it joining up with the maybe joining up with the Little Tennessee Greenway for a stretch? Keeping the future route more "natural", yet entering downtown for a small portion of the trail could be great for local promotion. Reminds me of Hot Springs, NC...
3/2/2013 12:54 AM
Ideally, there would be further breakup of OHV trails. In Michigan, the trail system is extensive - over 3,000 miles of trail system for OHV use. However, it is sub-divided into less than 50” width single track for motorcyclists, and over 50” for 4-wheelers and Jeeps. I would prefer to see a similar strategy employed as single track for off-road motorcyclists requires less space and maintenance, offers technical challenges for the experienced motorcyclist, and diminishes the possibility of contact between two different machines.

2/22/2013 9:29 AM
Equestrian activities in these counties amount to significant economic impact. I saw no indication of involvement with this user group. We were not notified in regards to this initiative until very recently. Don was able to come speak to our group.

2/18/2013 12:30 AM
The Haywood County map shows an existing forest trail from the Blue Ridge Parkway down into the Waynesville watershed. This WS-1 watershed is posted, closed to the public and does not have facilities required to be opened for public access. This trail has not been used or maintained in decades and no longer exists. Please remove it from the mapping. Fred Baker Director of Public Works Town of Waynesville
4. After reading the Plan document, are there any topic areas that need clarification? Did we miss something? Should we add anything to the Plan document that would help your understanding of the intent of the Plan?

9/6/2013 10:25 AM
No, I believe you covered all items thoroughly, though I had expected some ballpark cost estimates for financial comparison.

9/3/2013 10:00 PM
Since the enjoyment of outdoor activities is the focus of the Plan and an avenue towards advancing Eco-tourism in WNC, the Plan could benefit by including wording to: 1) Show a world-context of Blue Green Exercise (water/woods) formalized in other countries’ health care and educational structure - Japan, United Kingdom, Sweden, Norway, and Germany; engaging the natural world is part of their culture - WNC could serve to inspire that culture within the State and beyond; 2) Highlight the work currently being conducted throughout the State to promote Nature Play - NC State’s Natural Learning Initiative which promotes Natural Playscape features and which serves as a national leader in the field as well as NC Children and Nature Coalition which strives to support a multi-discipline network of individuals and organizations to promote Nature Play in the State as well as throughout the USA through National Wildlife Federation’s Be Out There campaign, Children & Nature Network's programs, and the First Lady's Let's Move Outside program; and, 3) Be included in the marketing media from the closest WNC urban hub, Asheville, which will debut a new "one umbrella" website soon which notes ALL sorts of trails - food trails, farm trails & tours, wine tails, paddle trails, etc.

8/30/2013 10:28 PM
I am a past president of Carolina Mountain Club. Our club has participated in the development of the Plan. The picture on Pg. 34 includes our current and immediate past presidents. Let me add my voice to the comments you have already received from our Club. I strongly support Option 1 for the route of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail (MST). As pointed out in the discussion of this option: "There is a strong likelihood that Option 1 is the only alternative that could be accomplished within the next 10 years on existing trails and public lands." The cons to this alternative stress its difficulty and remoteness. However, having hiked the Option 1 route and much of the rest of the MST in the mountains, it is less difficult and no more remote than the existing section of the MST in the Lindville Gorge area. The MST is supposed to demonstrate North Carolina's diversity. One aspect of that diversity is the variety of hiking experiences available on mountains. There are many easy to hike sections of the MST in the mountains. Offerings some more difficult sections adds to the MST's diversity. The pros for this alternative state that it "Takes advantage of past trail-building efforts to reach Heintooga Ridge Road." Let me amplify on that statement. The Carolina Mountain Club, with the full encouragement of the NC Dept. of State Parks, has devoted thousands of hours to building trail to Heintooga Ridge Road. That effort is on-going, as volunteers complete the difficult task of building a trail around Waterrock Knob. Any option that did not include the trail these volunteers have built would be an insult to their efforts and a serious barrier to future volunteer efforts. At various times representative of Great Smoky Mountains National Park (GRSM) have stated that they would be willing to designate existing trail in the park between Newton Bald (currently on the MST) and the park boundary at Heintooga Ridge Road as MST provided that there were facilities for backpackers outside GRSM. The Blue Ridge Parkway has discussed providing such facilities, but has yet to take action. I believe the simplest, quickest, and cheapest way to complete the MST in the mountains is to meet GRSM's requirement and allow willing volunteers from Carolina Mountain Club to build any trail required outside the park. Strong support for Option 1 from the Southwest Commission could make this a reality.

8/22/2013 1:39 PM
no

8/15/2013 8:46 AM
NO. Please when showing pictures of equestrian trail users, use only riders with protective headgear. The most frequent cause of death of horseback riders is head injury. The Competitive and Endurance riders require/recommend protective headgear. The recreational riders have the most injuries and deaths in the horse related activities. You have a responsibility to encourage head gear when riding the trails.

8/12/2013 9:56 AM
Define and clarify your process for transparency and specifically on how you establish consensus building among the various stakeholders. How are all the interest served, not just those with the consistent and/or loudest voice.
Southwestern Commission Regional Trails Plan: Appendix – Survey Responses

8/11/2013 12:51 AM
You have overdramatized the difficulty of the route through Great Smoky Mountains National Park. The trails are national park quality—well marked, well graded with official backcountry campgrounds. It is much easier to negotiate that any other options. When I walked the MST, I used that route instead of walking the Blue Ridge Parkway. The Smokies route is available now, while all the other options are in the future.

8/10/2013 1:22 PM
I don't think enough consideration has been given to the effort that has been made in building the MST on it’s current route. This effort involved tens-of-thousands of hours of work by (mostly) CMC trail crews. All of the folks involved with these crews are volunteers. There has been almost no cost to the public for this tremendous amount of work stretching over more than 20 years. If the MST route is changed, or an alternate route developed that draws hikers off the current trail, much of the trail they built will see little if any use, especially in the more remote sections. To cause that to happen would be breaking the "contract" with these dedicated volunteers that "if you build it, we will hike on it".

8/10/2013 12:35 AM
At this point, the Plan document seems thorough enough. I plan to share it with Sylva business owners.

8/8/2013 9:39 AM
Private/public land boundaries, campground/primative camping opportunities, descriptions of areas with reliable springs & water sources. The current issues (terrain/tunnel safety) of building the trail along the Parkway between Cherokee and Soco Gap. If proposing a greenway system for an area, propose a crushed gravel trail bed (similar to the Virginia Creeper). These type trails can be used by hikers, bicyclists, and horseriders.

8/7/2013 12:16 AM
No.

8/6/2013 9:37 PM
Yes

8/6/2013 3:38 PM
No.

3/19/2013 8:17 AM
The plan document has been downloading for 15 minutes and still is not done ... Frontier is awfully useless at times.

3/3/2013 8:21 PM
The Plan is a fantastic document and many, many thanks and applause go to those involved in it’s creation. I literally could not stop reading once I opened it. I am so thankful for the vision and passion evident in the Plan. Thank you for your tireless efforts in creating such a beautiful document. I would like to take a moment to speak on the MST options here. I am overwhelmingly in favor of "Option 4" for the routing of the WNC portion of the trail for many reasons: 1. If our Plan is to create "more than a trail...a cobweb planned to cover the mountains...well cared for, and well used", then I see no route better than Option 4. This option shows so much potential for covering a portion of the mountains that would be missed otherwise. With Franklin's designation recently as an Appalachian Trail Community, there is much excitement and obvious local support for such an initiative. County and town leaders are ready to take it on. The community is on fire for the outdoors. The future looks bright...it will be well cared for. With potential for linking with other trail systems in the county...it will be well used. 2. This option would bring MST hikers into a pristine Needmore area that would play a perfect host to such an initiative. This would also allow the trail to traverse two of the main river valleys of WNC. Traversing the LT and the Tuck adds to the diversity of the MST by offering something to the trail that no other route can offer. 3. One of the major concerns to this option is the distance that it adds to the overall route. However, adding this small distance to the MST would not deter thru-hikers from completing the trail. Even 2,200 miles of terrain doesn't stop hundreds of people from traversing the AT annually. If a short distance is the main objective here, then let's forget the portion of the trail in Eastern North Carolina that walks up nearly the entire OBX and let's head straight down Highway 64 once we get east of Raleigh...but you see, those are such quality miles and everyone deserves to experience them. This routing option is no different. Why should we make such a hasty exit from the mountains of WNC and Region A...the most beautiful part of the MST system? 4. This route offers the potential to form a trifecta with 2 other MAJOR trail systems. Bringing together the AT, Bartram, and MST would create a synergistic relationship between the trails and all those involved in their creation, upkeep,
promotion, and enjoyment. Creation of a trail system there alone (without connection to MST) would be great, but its potential skyrockets when all are brought together. 5. Lastly, the beautiful counties of Southwestern North Carolina deserve not to be overlooked, especially Macon, when it comes the creation of routes that traverse our area. A few examples...the Blue Ridge Parkway goes through Buncombe, Haywood, Jackson, and Swain. The Great Smokies Railroad travels through Jackson, Swain and Cherokee. The Great Smoky Mountains Expressway travels through Haywood, Jackson, and Swain. I know, historically, that counties like Macon, Clay, and Cherokee had established trade routes with North Georgia due to the ease of traversing goods in that direction in those days. Those days are over and the counties of Southwestern NC offer so much to the public that is ready to be utilized. Macon is a proud and "unreasonably undiscovered constituent" of WNC and would play a great and deserving host to the MST!!

3/2/2013 12:54 AM
Not that I can see. I am thrilled with the initiative and find that the team has done an outstanding job. Thank you.

2/22/2013 9:29 AM
Should note where roads are being shown as trails so not to confuse the General Public and/or further personal agendas concerning projects or trail locations. Several of the USFS roads are shown as Trails when they are being funded by Congress as USFS system roads. This is very misleading.

2/20/2013 11:09 AM
As a great amount of the land in these counties is going to fall under the new forest plan, how is this plan going to coordinate efforts?

2/18/2013 12:30 AM
You covered and explained well. Re: MTS -- I think that the option of convening a stakeholders meeting or work group (last page of MTS chapter) is a necessary and potentially useful approach.
### Survey Responses

Please rank from 1 to 10 (1 = most important, 10 = least important) the implementation actions listed in Chapter 3 of the draft plan that are most important to you or your organization?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organize a regional trails committee to help increase implementation of this Plan.</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct an annual or semi-annual trails workshop in the region.</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produce an annual report of trail accomplishments.</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify strategies and funding sources to hire a part-time or full-time regional trails coordinator.</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work with partners and organizations to define a preferred route for the Mountains to Sea Trail.</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produce a set of trail maps and guides.</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organize a regional effort to designate Trail Towns to promote the region to visitors.</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organize a trail-friendly business program.</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursue Complete Streets Implementation with North Carolina DOT and other partners.</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collect consistent data on trails and greenways usage throughout the region.</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Answered question: 20
December 19, 2012
Don Kostelec
c/o Southwestern Commission
125 Bonnie Lane
Sylva, NC 28779

Re: Proposed Mountains-to-Sea Trail (MST) Routes from Great Smoky Mountains National Park to Balsam Gap

Dear Don:

This letter is written on behalf of the North Carolina Bartram Trail Society, of which I am President. We have received a copy of Brent Martin’s letter on behalf of The Wilderness Society, and we wish to endorse the alternate route of the proposed MST trail described in his letter to you. As you may be aware, we are an all-volunteer hiking organization with some 200 members, and we maintain and operate a 70-mile trail that generally follows the path taken by the naturalist William Bartram when he made his celebrated visit to Western North Carolina in 1775. Our trail connects in two places with the Appalachian Trail.

In addition to all the benefits of the alternate route proposed by The Wilderness Society, we think a significant advantage would be the ability to connect with both the Appalachian Trail and the Bartram Trail and thus enhance the hiking potential in this area. It would be relatively easy to connect the proposed trail route to the Appalachian and Bartram Trails, as Brent points out in his letter. Moreover, we think the existence of these expanded hiking opportunities would also expand the network of trail maintenance volunteers who work on the trail systems in our area.

I hope this letter is not too late to be given consideration. I have been without internet service for the past two weeks and had no earlier opportunity to express the position of the NCBTS.

Sincerely yours,

Walter H. Wingfield
President,
North Carolina Bartram Trail Society
September 11, 2012

Kate Dixon
Friends of the Mountain-to-Sea Trail

Dear Kate,

This letter is in response to the recent notification that the FMST is advocating a change of route for the MST in the western part of the state. The notice of a public meeting September 13th to discuss a new plan is the first formal notification the Carolina Mountain Club has received concerning a change although there have been conversations to this effect in the past year with various CMC members. On behalf of the CMC’s governing Council I must express the Club’s unhappiness with both the proposed change in trail route and the process that the FMST have followed in coming up with the proposal.

As you know, the Carolina Mountain Club is responsible for building and maintaining approximately 140 miles of the MST--almost 15% of the entire MST or almost 1/3 of the completed portion of the Trail. Put differently, although we are an Appalachian Trail Conservancy maintaining club, we actually maintain more miles of the MST than we do of the AT! Over the past 25 years that the CMC has worked on the trail our members have volunteered tens of thousands of hours to ensure the MST is built and maintained.

Twenty years ago the CMC, FMST and NC State Trails Program agreed on the general location of the MST following the route of the Blue Ridge Parkway and the CMC has been working towards that goal since that agreement was made. We are currently only a few miles short of completing our section of this trail route. So you can imagine our consternation to discover that much of our work may have been in vain.

You note that one of the reasons for the recommended change is that the current route requires people using the BRP to hike the existing trail sections to walk through 5 tunnels. I hope you are aware that this is a temporary problem and will not be the case once the trail is completed. Also, it appears that the proposed new route will require on-road walking well into the future—an undesirable option given to existence of completed trail through the beautiful mountains.

As I noted above, the CMC Council is not only unhappy about the proposed change in route but is extremely upset about the process that led to the FMST’s recommended route change. As an important and dedicated partner in planning, building and maintaining the MST the CMC should
have been formally contacted as soon as the FMST began seriously discussing a change in route. A conversation early on would have allowed a full and open discussion of the pros and cons of both routes. More importantly, we could have asked those Club members who continue to work so hard to complete the Trail as originally planned to hold back till a route is finalized. Instead the CMC has still received no formal notification from the FMST of a planned route change, only a copy of a notice for a public meeting. As a result of this action many of our most dedicated trail builders and maintainers are hurt and angry as you witnessed in the letter you received from Skip Sheldon.

The Carolina Mountain Club remains committed to a successful completion of the Mountain-to-Sea Trail. While we appreciate the importance of public meetings and plan to have CMC representative at the 9/13 meeting, we request a separate meeting of representatives from the CMC, FMST and NC State Trails Program to discuss route options and plans.

Thank you for your prompt response to this letter.

Marcia Bromberg
President, Carolina Mountain Club

CC: Darrell McBane, NC State Trails Program Manager
November 2, 2012

Southwestern Commission
125 Bonnie Lane
Sylva, NC 28779

Dear Commission Members,

The Carolina Mountain Club (CMC), a hiking and trail maintaining club founded in 1923, began formal work on a designated section of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail (MST) in 1983. Since then we have constructed and now maintain just under 140 miles of this state trail. We understand that as part of the Regional Trails Plan currently underway suggestions have been made to alter the route of the MST in Western North Carolina. One or more of these suggested changes could mean that 30+ miles of CMC-built Trail would be by-passed by a revised route.

**It is the position of the Carolina Mountain Club that the route of the MST remain as close to the original route as possible with the expectation that the trail will eventually be completed as planned. In fact members of the CMC have identified a way to connect a missing section of the trail west of the Club’s section using an existing gravel road between Wolf Laurel Gap and Big Witch Gap.**

The MST, beginning at the North Carolina/Tennessee state line and ending at the Atlantic Ocean, offers those individuals interested in long-distance hiking an unparalleled opportunity to experience the natural beauty of North Carolina. Through a variety of access points it also offers day hikers a chance to sample every type of trail experience--from high meadows and rock faces with breathtaking views to cascading streams and waterfalls, from challenging uphill climbs to beautiful spring wildflowers. Indeed, an asset of the trail is that it allows NC residents and visitors access to a wilderness experience. The route originally chosen for the MST is the one that best provides this experience.

The members of the CMC support and applaud new trail proposals, especially those that can be used as access trails for the MST. We think that some of these new trails may eventually comprise shorter, multi-day hikes that take advantage of the MST for a portion of their route. However, we do not think that it is necessary to change the route of the MST as new trail routes are considered. The Club remains committed to completing and maintaining its assigned portion of the MST and does so with the understanding that our work will not be abandoned in future plans for the trail.

Sincerely,

Marcia W. Bromberg
President, Carolina Mountain Club
November 2, 2012

Southwestern Commission
125 Bonnie Lane
Sylva, NC 28779

Dear Commission Members,

The Carolina Mountain Club (CMC), a hiking and trail maintaining club founded in 1923, began formal work on a designated section of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail (MST) in 1983. Since then, we have constructed and now maintain just under 140 miles of this state trail. We understand that as part of the Regional Trails Plan currently underway, suggestions have been made to alter the route of the MST in Western North Carolina. One or more of these suggested changes could mean that 30+ miles of CMC-built Trail would be by-passed by a revised route.

It is the position of the Carolina Mountain Club that the route of the MST remain as close to the original route as possible with the expectation that the trail will eventually be completed as planned. In fact, members of the CMC have identified a way to connect a missing section of the trail west of the Club’s section using an existing gravel road between Wolf Laurel Gap and Big Witch Gap.

The MST, beginning at the North Carolina/Tennessee state line and ending at the Atlantic Ocean, offers those individuals interested in long-distance hiking an unparalleled opportunity to experience the natural beauty of North Carolina. Through a variety of access points, it also offers day hikers a chance to sample every type of trail experience—-from high meadows and rock faces with breathtaking views to cascading streams and waterfalls, from challenging uphill climbs to beautiful spring wildflowers. Indeed, an asset of the trail is that it allows NC residents and visitors access to a wilderness experience. The route originally chosen for the MST is the one that best provides this experience.

The members of the CMC support and applaud new trail proposals, especially those that can be used as access trails for the MST. We think that some of these new trails may eventually comprise shorter, multi-day hikes that take advantage of the MST for a portion of their route. However, we do not think that it is necessary to change the route of the MST as new trail routes are considered. The Club remains committed to completing and maintaining its assigned portion of the MST and does so with the understanding that our work will not be abandoned in future plans for the trail.

Sincerely,

Marcia W. Bromberg
President, Carolina Mountain Club
December 11, 2012

Don Koseлев
c/o Southwestern Commission
125 Bonnie Lane
Sylva, NC 28779

Dear Don:

I am writing to share FMST’s thoughts about the route of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail (MST) as you prepare the draft report for the seven county trail plan that the Southwestern Commission is developing for the NC Division of Parks and Recreation. As the citizen-support group for the MST, we have appreciated this opportunity to help engage people in a discussion about the trail route in this area which is the only remaining gap in the MST in the mountains.

Based on the comments we have heard to date, the FMST Board of Directors:

1. Recommends that State Parks establish a loop trail of two primary routes – a “Great Smoky route” following trails through the Great Smoky Mountain National Park (GSMNP) and a “river valley route” past mountain farms and small towns.

2. Supports the “Great Smoky route” proposed by GSMNP staff that follows existing trails and roads in the GSMNP to Heintooga Road (see attachment to this letter for the specific route).

3. Proposes, for discussion, that the “river valley route” follow the Tuckasegee River from Bryson City to Sylva and then head north into Pinnacle Park to converge with the “Great Smoky route” at Waterrock Knob. We propose this route because we believe that it is the one, of all the suggested routes, that can most quickly be built as an all-trail route. However, we believe that each of the other suggested river valley routes could be a great MST route if the community is behind it. We look forward to being part of the continued discussion as the trail plan is finalized, and we expect to support whichever route is selected by the community.

The Loop Trail of Two Primary Routes
State Parks has established a precedent for a two-route/loop option in the Winston-Salem area where one route goes north from Pilot Mountain State Park through the Sauratown Trail and Hanging Rock State Park and the other more urban route heads south through the historic Moravian town of Bethania and then follows Winston-Salem greenways to converge with the rural route on the Greensboro watershed trails.

We believe a two-route option of a Great Smoky route and a river valley route makes sense in Swain and Jackson counties for several reasons:
1. It provides a diversity of hiking experiences and respects the hiking interests and endurance of people who are seeking to complete or explore multiple sections of the MST. For hikers seeking physical challenge and the experience of solitude with nature, the Great Smoky route will provide the most challenging hiking experience on the entire MST. For those seeking a less extreme hike, the river valley route provides a shorter hike with less elevation gain and easy resupply. Camp sites are available now on both routes with other lodging options also available on the river valley route.

2. Both routes showcase beautiful parts of North Carolina:
   a. The Great Smoky route will allow hikers to experience some of the most remote, beautiful parts of the GSMNP.
   b. Hikers on the river valley route will experience 20 miles of trail in the GSMNP as well as a rural mountain valley with farms and small towns. Hikers who have completed the entire MST report how much they enjoy experiencing the people and landscapes in rural Piedmont and Coastal Plain sections of the trail. This river valley will be the only MST hikers experience in the mountains because the trail follows the Blue River Parkway and other ridgelines through the Nantahala and Pisgah National Forest.

3. Selecting routes that people in Swain and Jackson counties are excited about will help involve the volunteers needed to build and maintain the Great Smoky and river valley routes.

4. Establishing two routes will ensure that all of the trail constructed by the Carolina Mountain Club will continue to be an important part of the MST.

Selecting Specific Routes for the Great Smoky Route and the River Valley Route

Over the last few months, people have shared many exciting ideas for possible trail routes with us. Each has features that would make it a great route for the MST. The suggested trail routes fall into four general categories, each with several variations:

1. Routes entirely within the GSMNP all of which converge with the existing MST at Heintooga Road.

2. Routes that leave the GSMNP at Deep Creek Campground near Bryson City and follow the Tuckasegee River to Dillsboro and Sylva. Two main variations of this route exist:
   a. From Sylva the route heads north through Pinnacle Park to reconnect to the MST at Waterrock Knob
   b. From Sylva the route follows the planned Jackson County greenway to Cullowhee and then heads into the Nantahala National Forest to converge with the MST at Old Baldy or Wet Camp Gap

3. A route through the Needmore tract on the Little Tennessee River to the Ahtska/Cowee section of the Nantahala National Forest and then to Dillsboro and Sylva. From Sylva, this route can then follow any of the options for the Tuckasegee River route above.
4. Routes that cross the Qualla Boundary using Bureau of Indian Affairs roads. Many of these options are intriguing, but none have been suggested by anyone from the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians (EBCI). FMST would welcome the EBCI to propose an MST route, but we believe no routes on the Boundary should be considered unless they are officially recommended by the EBCI.

Based on what we have learned to date, we believe that the Tuckaseegee River route #2a above may be the most viable option to create an all-trail river valley route quickly. The GSMNP staff have proposed a route following existing trails (exact routing is attached) that can serve as the Great Smoky route.

However, each of the routes described above could be a great MST route if the community is behind it. FMST looks forward to the continuing discussion about the trail route and expects to support whatever options the community ultimately decides to support.

Thank you for the opportunity to participate in this planning process. Please let me know if you have questions.

Sincerely,

Kate Dixon
Executive Director
Don Kosetelec and Ryan Sherby  
Southwestern Commission  
125 Bonnie Lane  
Sylva, NC 28779

Dear Don and Ryan:

We are writing to provide comments on the final draft trail plan for the Southwestern Commission region. Our comments are focused on the recommendations in Chapter 6 which propose a course of action for how to establish a route for the Mountains-to-Sea Trail (MST) to connect the Great Smoky Mountain National Park (GSMNP) to the Blue Ridge Parkway (BRP).

Friends of the Mountains-to-Sea Trail (FMST) supports a two-route option for the MST in this area with each route being of equal stature. We are concerned that the Great Smoky route suggested by the GSMNP (described in your trail plan as Option 1) has so much elevation gain and such difficult resupply options that it will discourage a large percentage of MST hikers from attempting it.

We therefore recommend that a river valley route (described in your plan as Option 3) be designated to provide an additional option with less elevation gain and easier resupply. An additional benefit of this route is that it will provide economic value to communities along the route in Swain and Jackson counties.

This river valley route would allow hikers to experience 20 miles of trails within the GSMNP as well as a mountain river valley with its farms and small towns. MST hikers report how much they enjoy experiencing the people and landscapes in rural Piedmont and Coastal Plain sections of the trail. This river valley route will be the only one that MST hikers experience in the mountains. The existing route of the MST in the mountains follows the BRP and other ridgelines through the Nantahala and Pisgah National Forest, rarely following rivers or streams and never entering towns or agricultural valleys.

We also request that the BRP be given additional time to investigate the feasibility of a Blue Ridge route flagged by FMST founder Allen DeHart that would more closely approximate the original planned route of the trail from Oconaluftee to Heintooga Road before any decisions are made about designating the Great Smoky route as one of the dual-route options. Carolina Mountain Club leaders have spoken to BRP Acting Superintendent Monika Mayr and other BRP staff about this route, and all have agreed to look at it more closely once the new BRP Superintendent starts work. If this route proves feasible, FMST would prefer that this route replace the Great Smoky route as one of the two routes for the MST in this area as it provides
some of the most spectacular views in the mountains and also has less elevation gain and easier resupply than the Great Smoky route.

The recommendations in your plan are outlined as four steps. Below we provide additional comments about specific recommendations outlined in each step:

Step 1: Designate the Great Smoky Route now.
FMST is supportive of this step only if it is part of a dual route option. If State Parks designates this route, we ask that it only be done with the assurance that the GSMNP, State Parks and BRP will allow an additional route and also allow a shift from this route to the Blue Ridge route if that one becomes feasible.

Step 2: Identify the River Valley Route as a “Potential Alternate Route” for the MST.
FMST seeks more clarification about what identification as a “potential alternate route” means. We ask that State Parks accord this route the same status that it has the dual routes in the Winston-Salem area which receive equal funding priority, support and publicity.

Step 3: Consider designating the River Valley Route as MST when it is complete or near completion.
FMST asks that State Parks provide more assurance at this time about its intent to designate the river valley route as a dual route of the MST.

Step 4: Allow for a future option of designating the MST along the original planned Blue Ridge route.
FMST requests that the BRP, State Parks and the Cherokee Nation be given additional time to investigate the feasibility of the route flagged by Allen DeHart before any decision is made about designating the Great Smoky route. FMST prefers this Blue Ridge route over the Great Smoky route as the second in a dual-route option.

Thank you again for your work to develop this plan and your efforts to include FMST and other stakeholders in the process. Please let us know if you have questions.

Sincerely,

Jerry Barker
Board President

Kate Dixon
Executive Director

Cc: Lewis Ledford, Director, NC Division of Parks and Recreation
    Darrell McBane, Manager, NC Trails Program
    Dale Ditmanson, Superintendent, Great Smoky Mountain National Park
    (continued)
CC (continued):

Melissa Cobern, Backcountry Management Specialist, GSMNP
Monika Mayr, Acting Superintendent, Blue Ridge Parkway
Dawn Leonard, Community Planner, Blue Ridge Parkway
David Anderson, Landscape Architect, Blue Ridge Parkway
Kevin King, Manager, Swain County
Ken Mills, Executive Director, Swain County Economic Development Officer
Chuck Wooten, Manager, Jackson County
Gerald Green, Planning Director, Jackson County
Marcia Bromberg, Carolina Mountain Club
Les Love, Carolina Mountain Club
January 20, 2012

Darrell McBane
State Trails Program
NC Division of Parks and Recreation
1615 Mail Service Center
Raleigh NC 27699-1615

Dear Darrell,

I am writing as a follow up to our meeting on December 6, 2011 at the Blue Ridge Parkway headquarters with you, Tim Johnson, Amy Cooper as well as Blue Ridge Parkway Superintendent Phil Francis and Landscape Architect David Anderson and Great Smoky Mountains NP Chief Ranger Clay Jordan and Backcountry Management Specialist Melissa Cobern

Like you, we are encouraged about the prospects of being able to make a viable link between the Great Smoky Mountains National Park and the Blue Ridge Parkway if there is general agreement by all interested parties that this is the most reasonable and desirable alternative and with the few caveats discussed at our meeting in December being met.

At our December 6 meeting, I did indicate that we (GRSM) would be willing to designate a route for the Mountains to Sea Trail through the park between Newton Bald (via Smokemont, Bradley Fork, Chasteen Creek, Enloe Creek, Hyatt Ridge, Beech Gap, Balsam Mtn Trails, Balsam Mountain Road, Flat Creek Trail and Heintooga Ridge Road to Black Camp Gap) and the Blue Ridge Parkway if the following were agreed to by the other key players in this discussion (Blue Ridge Parkway and NCDENR). The Mingus Creek Trail section would be "undesignated".

The issue of camping along the Mountains to Sea Trail beyond the Great Smoky Mountains National Park along the Blue Ridge Parkway can be addressed by the NPS through an Environmental Assessment, which the Blue Ridge Parkway is committed to accomplishing, along with campsite construction, as time and funding becomes available. Phil Francis will be sending you a note under separate cover regarding the Parkway's commitments.

I also indicated that we would want to have a commitment by a volunteer organization to a) perform trail and campsite maintenance on the newly designated route and b) assistance in eliminating any illegal campsites that might appear along the new route.
We currently have an Adopt-a-Trail and Adopt-a-Campsite program here at the Smokies managed by a coordinator and accomplished by volunteers that this could easily fit within, with the specific expectations agreed upon in a new or revised MOU.

The NC DENR has statewide responsibility for managing the message regarding the Mountains to Sea Trail, specifically with regards to publicity and web site information, and will coordinate with NPS and other land managing partners to ensure accuracy regarding camping, parking, illegal activities, etc.

Finally, the last caveat discussed was the request of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park to only have one route designated as the MST through the park. If we are to move forward with the redesignation, we would ask that the State provide us with a written commitment to not request a future change or a separate additional trail designation emanating from the park at another location. It is our understanding that your office is the lead entity for determining what is in the best interest of the Mountains to Sea Trail and has primary responsibility for coordination and decision making amongst the myriad of partners (Federal, State and private) who also have a vested interest in the MST.

I hope that this accurately reflects your recollections of the discussion and appreciate the time and effort that you, Tim and others have dedicated to this task and I am confident that at some point in the not too distant future, a mutually agreeable route connecting the Smokies with the Blue Ridge Parkway could be formalized and designated.

If you would like to discuss and/or clarify any of the above caveats, please let me know so we can do so.

Sincerely,

Kevin FitzGerald
Deputy Superintendent

cc:
Superintendent Dale Ditmanson, GRSM
Chief Ranger Clayton Jordan, GRSM
Backcountry Management Specialist Melissa Cobern, GRSM
Superintendent Phil Francis, BLRI
Landscape Architect J. David Anderson, BLRI
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF SWAIN

The Swain County Board of Commissioners hereby resolves the following at a regularly assembled meeting:

WHEREAS, Swain and Jackson counties have extraordinary natural, rural and cultural features such as the Tuckasegee River, entrances to the Great Smoky Mountain National Park, Blue Ridge Parkway and Nantahala National Forest, mountain farms and rural crossroad communities, Western Carolina University, and the vibrant towns of Bryson City, Dillsboro and Sylva;

WHEREAS, Swain and Jackson counties have adopted greenway plans to highlight these natural, rural and cultural features for the enjoyment and health of their citizens and visitors;

WHEREAS, the Mountains-to-Sea Trail runs 1000 miles from the Great Smoky Mountains to the Outer Banks to showcase North Carolina’s natural, rural and cultural features;

WHEREAS, North Carolina’s Mountains-to-Sea Trail is a unit of the State Park System created and maintained in partnership with local, state and federal governments and citizen groups;

WHEREAS, more and more people are completing the entire Mountains-to-Sea Trail to experience the beauty and diversity of North Carolina;

WHEREAS, an official route for the Mountains-to-Sea Trail between the Great Smoky Mountain National Park and Balsam Gap on the Blue Ridge Parkway has never been designated since the trail was first proposed in 1977;

WHEREAS, recently the NC Division of State Parks has been discussing establishing an official route for the trail from Clingmans Dome in the Great Smoky Mountain National Park to Balsam Gap on the Blue Ridge Parkway which would be extremely difficult for most hikers because it would run almost 80 miles over very steep terrain (total ascent of 15,800 feet and total descent of 19,000 feet) before hikers would be able to resupply in a town or store;

WHEREAS, a beautiful and interesting trail route that is approximately 40 miles long over gentler terrain (total ascent of 5,100 feet and total descent of 8,400 feet) with multiple opportunities for resupply could be established through Swain and Jackson counties that would leave the Great Smoky Mountain National Park at Deep Creek Campground, follow proposed greenways through Swain and Jackson counties, and use forest roads in the Nantahala National Forest to reach the Blue Ridge Parkway at Balsam Gap;
WHEREAS, the Swain County Board of Commissioners is eager to help Swain and Jackson counties partner with the NC Division of Parks and Recreation and other local, state, and federal governments and citizen groups to build, maintain and promote a trail route which meets the needs of MST hikers and showcases the beauty of Swain and Jackson counties;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, that Swain County Board of Commissioners requests that the NC Division of Parks and Recreation, the Great Smoky Mountain National Park and the Nantahala National Forest, adopt a primary planned route for the Mountains-to-Sea Trail through Swain and Jackson counties. This resolution’s sole purpose, as stated above, does not include any agency’s request for other use. Any unauthorized use, including buffering, will automatically rescind this resolution.

Adopted this the 14th day of November, 2011.

[Signature]
Mil Carsoon, Chairman
Swain County Commissioners

ATTEST:

[Cinda C Woodard]
Cindi C. Woodard, Clerk to the Board
Swain County Commissioners
December 6, 2012

Don Kostecki
C/O Southwestern Commission
125 Bonnie Lane
Sylva, NC 28779

Re: Proposed Mountains-To-Sea Trail (MST) routes from Great Smoky Mountains National Park (GSMNP) to Balsam Gap, Blue Ridge Parkway

Dear Don,

Please accept these comments on behalf of the Southern Appalachian regional office of The Wilderness Society. We would like to propose and endorse a route for the MST that runs south of the current planned route, southeast along the Little Tennessee River and northeast through the Cowee Mountain range. This alternate route between Bryson City and Dillsboro highlights two significant complexes of public land, the NC State Carnetlands along the Little Tennessee River known as the Needmore tract and the Alarka Laurel area within the Nantahala National Forest. We believe that this route would provide the mix of interface with spectacular mountain landscape scenery as well as rural communities that NC State Parks seeks for this trail experience. A map of this proposed route is enclosed, highlighting the potential routes through this area.

We envision the MST exiting the GSMNP through the Deep Creek area and Bryson City, moving across NC highway 74 and following the east bank of the Little Tennessee River along existing roadbeds that range from ancient to state-maintained hardpack dirt. This area, locally known as the Needmore Tract, is a 4,500 acre parcel that is state owned and managed, and buffered by additional conserved and noteworthy lands such as the Cowee-West’s Mill National Historic District, numerous parcels owned and protected by the Land Trust for the Little Tennessee, the Cowee Mound site which is owned by the Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians, and the Nantahala National Forest.

The Little Tennessee River flows free for 26 miles through the Needmore Tract before Lake Fontana, a rare riverside walk experience through an undeveloped valley for hikers to enjoy. A gravel road and boat access areas are visible on portions of the other side of the river, and two NC DOT-maintained historic swinging bridges are available to cross over and explore. The river and the 37 miles of protected tributary streams within the tract are renowned for their rich biological diversity, and we believe that the MST should take advantage to showcase this regional jewel. By doing so, another future trail linkage option is opened up with the proximity of the Appalachian Trail on the crest of the Nantahala Mountains above the west side of the river. From Tellico Gap, a trail of about 2
miles could be built entirely on conserved lands that would connect these two long
distance trails and create impressive long distance hiking opportunities.

We have scouted the 5.15 mile route along the river between Brush Creek and Sawmill
Creek, and see several options from that point to enter into the Nantahala National Forest,
all utilizing existing public rural roads and lands. One option is to follow Bradley Creek
road through the Oak Grove community, which ends at the National Forest boundary.

After entering the Nantahala National Forest, an existing system of dirt roads can lead
MST hikers up the Cowee Mountain Range to the Alarka Laurel area. This is an unusual
high-elevation, flat-bottomed, “hanging” valley, which is also home to a biologically
unique and irreplaceable red spruce bog, the southernmost occurrence of both this type of
bog as well as habitat for the Northern Flying Squirrel. The Walton Smith Interpretive
Trail is an available side trip for hikers, which at any time of the year is a worthwhile
excursion.

The road systems continue through the eastern side of the Cowee Range section of the
Nantahala National Forest, and we believe that the Greens Creek or Brushy Fork areas
would be the best way for the trail to exit and return to NC Hwy 441 near Dillsboro. We
understand that there are numerous options at that point to lead the MST back to the Blue
Ridge Parkway, all with worthwhile advantages.

As the Region A Council of Governments and NC State Parks review the trail systems
and recreational opportunities of our western counties, we thank you for taking this MST
route proposal into consideration. With all of the key ingredients of impressive natural
scenery and ecological integrity, rural heritage, wild river corridor, moderate hiking and
road walking, we believe that this route would be an asset to Swain, Macon, and Jackson
Counties as well as provide a remarkable experience for all those who will walk this trail.

Please let me know if you need any more information regarding this proposed route.

Sincerely,

Brent Martin, Southern Appalachian Regional Director
The Wilderness Society